

# LA MUFON

## LOUISIANA MUTUAL UFO NETWORK

752 Daventry Drive, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808

The Louisiana Mutual UFO Network (LA MUFON) is an affiliate of the Mutual UFO Network, Inc. (MUFON), an international scientific organization of volunteers interested in studying the phenomenon of unidentified flying objects (UFOs). MUFON's international headquarters is at 103 Oldtowne Road, Seguin, Texas 78155. The contents of this newsletter is determined by the editor and does not necessarily reflect the official position of MUFON or LA MUFON. Subjects discussed are controversial and readers are encouraged to do further research and make their own decisions. This newsletter is published as required by LA MUFON activities and is distributed to contributors and cooperating university and law enforcement officials. Contributors of \$15 or more per calendar year, or \$2 for each remaining month in the current calendar year, will be placed on the LA MUFON mail list for the remainder of the calendar year. This is a contribution and not a subscription; no specific number of issues is guaranteed but issues are normally published every other month during the odd numbered months.

W. L. "Barney" Garner, Editor.

Vol. 8; No. 4.

July-August, 1996

### LA MUFON UPDATE:

The end of the year is in sight and those wanting to meet before then need to schedule it now. State Section Directors should schedule and plan the meeting. The State Director will help with materials and information as he can. If you don't have a SSD, or don't know who it is (see list on page 8), and you want a meeting bad enough to help put it on, call the SD. Meetings can be informal discussion groups or a formal presentation.

The 1996 MUFON UFO Symposium continued the trend towards a more scientific look at UFOs. Complete presentations of all speakers are included in the Symposium Proceedings which are available from MUFON for \$25 plus \$3.50 shipping. Video tapes are also available.

Of interest to your SD:

V. DiPietro explained his computer analysis of the Face on Mars. He believes it may be one Billion years old. He says there are other faces, smaller and more damaged, on Mars. He does not believe there are ruins on the Moon.

Dr. R. K. Leir provided a detailed explanation of procedures he used to document removal of alleged implants from two people, and says he has now operated on six abductees. Lab results are still incomplete and he still has

(Continued on page 7)

### STATE DIRECTORS GET INVOLVED IN IMPROVING INCIDENT REPORT SYSTEM

MUFON state directors finally faced up to an increasingly obvious problem at the 1996 Symposium — MUFON had outgrown its britches (5000+ members and active in all 50 states plus 41 foreign countries) and was getting bogged down and disorganized. Many members and some state organizations felt increasingly isolated as the international headquarters was forced to divert more and more time and resources to outside inquiries, publications, media demands and financial needs. UFO incident reports and membership were beginning to drop.

Still operating largely under procedures and by-laws designed in the 1960s for volunteers to coordinate activities between a few small state organizations, there was growing frustration over failure to submit UFO incident reports, a lack of feedback on reports that had been submitted, the types and expectations of membership, and - as always - finances.

Various individuals and committees are working on solutions, but results will take time. Rules and regulations must consider states with hundreds of members, some including large urban programs, and states with only a very few members and responsible for thousands of square miles.

Groups of members in urban areas can attract speakers and conduct training programs, but someone must take charge and get the job done. Isolated members in rural areas may have to train themselves and rely on the mail, telephone and internet for help and information. By-laws cannot violate laws governing MUFON's nonprofit and tax exempt status.

Members seem most concerned with not seeing complete summaries of *all* UFO incidents that had been reported and not getting immediate feedback on reports they submitted. This problem is more complex than it seems. To insure scientific objectivity, MUFON policy has been to publish reports only on *documented* UFO incidents. This requires a completed investigation to include a finding that there was ample evidence for evaluation, but the object still remains unidentified. That requires a lot of work and it takes time. Many incident reports simply do not contain enough data to evaluate, or to justify publication. More discouraging, a good investigation sometimes identifies the object which means it is now an IFO and the report would not be published as a UFO incident.

Some want an immediate report-

(Continued on page 3)

# IDENTIFIED HEAVENLY OBJECTS

Michael D. Sandras

Michael D. Sandras is observatory operator at the University of New Orleans, curator of the Kermer McMoran Planetarium, and past-president of the Pontchartrain Astronomy Society.



Several planets, including the two largest in our solar system, are now visible in the night sky. By late July, Jupiter is visible low in the southeast sky just after sunset. Now the brightest object after the Moon in the evening sky, Jupiter migrates to the west as the season progresses. Saturn, in the constellation Cetus, rises several hours after Jupiter, and follows basically the same path across the sky. By the end of August, Mercury should also be visible in the evening sky, but it will be very close to the horizon and thus very difficult to find.

The morning sky is dominated by Venus which is so bright that you can even see it in the daytime if you know where to look. Mars is also a morning object at this time, but not a particularly bright one. In late July, Mars is in Taurus; by the end of August it lies in Cancer.

On the subject of planets, the first of Galileo Space Probe's images of Ganymede, Jupiter's and the Solar System's largest moon, were released on July 10 and more are scheduled to follow. If you have Internet access, look up either NASA's or JPL's homepage; if not, contact a NASA

center. Please contact me if you need help.

The constellations of the Summer Sky are now visible just after sunset. The bright stars Vega, Deneb, and Altair, collectively called the "Summer Triangle", dominate the overhead sky for this season. Later in the evening you will see constellations such as Cassiopeia, Pegasus, Andromeda and Perseus rising into the northeastern sky. By late August, the familiar Winter Constellations such as Orion and Taurus will be visible in the predawn sky.

In areas where reflected ground lights do not inhibit sky viewing, the whitish band of light known as the "Milky Way" can be seen from Scorpius/Sagittarius up through the Summer Triangle. This whitish band of light is actually some of the millions

(Continued on page 3)

## IDENTIFIED HEAVENLY OBJECTS FINDER

A listed planet or star can be located on or near a listed date by using the azimuth (Az) and elevation (El) shown for that object. Azimuth and elevation are computed from Baton Rouge, Louisiana, but are generally accurate for all of Louisiana. A negative elevation, highlighted by italic print, indicates the object is below the horizon. The magnitude (Mag.), or brightness, of each object is given after its name; the smaller, or lower, the number, the brighter the object. The constellation to which a star belongs is printed after the star name and magnitude.

### 0500 CDST

Moon (Mag. -12.50)	Az 059; El -31
Mars (Mag. 2.65)	Az 073; El 18
Saturn (Mag. 1.65)	Az 210; El 56
Venus (Mag. -3.30)	Az 080; El 23
Achenar (Mag. 0.46) <i>Eridanus</i>	Az 179; El 02
Adara (Mag. 1.50) <i>Canis Major</i>	Az 119; El -07
Aldebaran (Mag. 0.85) <i>Taurus</i>	Az 098; El 460
*Altair (Mag. 0.77) <i>Aquila</i>	Az 275; El 09
Betelgeuse (Mag. 0.50) <i>Orion</i>	Az 096; El 25
Capella (Mag. 0.08) <i>Auriga</i>	Az 054; El 44
*Deneb (Mag. 1.25) <i>Cygnus</i>	Az 307; El 33
Fomalhaut (M. 1.16) <i>Pisces-A-</i>	Az 215; El 20
Procyon (Mag. 0.38) <i>Canis Minor</i>	Az 085; El 01
Pollux (Mag. 1.14) <i>Gemini</i>	Az 064; El 11
Rigel (Mag. 0.12) <i>Orion</i>	Az 116; El 24
Sirius (Mag. -1.46) <i>Canis Major</i>	Az 110; El 01
*Vega (Mag. 0.03) <i>Lyra</i>	Az 310; El 09

### 2100 CDST

Moon (Mag. -12.50)	Az 279; El -06
Jupiter (Mag. -1.40)	Az 163; El 34
*Altair (Mag. 0.77) <i>Aquila</i>	Az 117; El 52
Antares (Mag. 0.96) <i>Scorpius</i>	Az 197; El 31
Arcturus (Mag. -0.04) <i>Bootes</i>	Az 268; El 44
*Deneb (Mag. 1.25) <i>Cygnus</i>	Az 054; El 51
Spica (Mag. 0.98) <i>Virgo</i>	Az 245; El -17
*Vega (Mag. 0.03) <i>Lyra</i>	Az 053; El 75

### 15 AUG 96

Az 059; El -31
Az 073; El 18
Az 210; El 56
Az 080; El 23
Az 179; El 02
Az 119; El -07
Az 098; El 460
Az 275; El 09
Az 096; El 25
Az 054; El 44
Az 307; El 33
Az 215; El 20
Az 085; El 01
Az 064; El 11
Az 116; El 24
Az 110; El 01
Az 310; El 09

### 15 AUG 96

Az 279; El -06
Az 163; El 34
Az 117; El 52
Az 197; El 31
Az 268; El 44
Az 054; El 51
Az 245; El -17
Az 053; El 75

### 31 AUG 96

Az 228; El 55
Az 076; El 22
Az 231; El 46
Az 080; El 22
Az 188; El 02
Az 127; El 04
Az 110; El 59
Az 283; El -04
Az 106; El 38
Az 052; El 55
Az 311; El 22
Az 226; El 11
Az 093; El 15
Az 070; El 24
Az 129; El 36
Az 119; El 14
Az 312; El 01

### 31 AUG 96

Az 076; El -07
Az 181; El 36
Az 138; El 62
Az 212; El 25
Az 276; El 30
Az 050; El 62
Az 254; El 04
Az 359; El 86

### 15 SEP 96

Az 061; El -50
Az 080; El 25
Az 245; El 35
Az 081; El 19
Az 195; El 00
Az 136; El 13
Az 131; El 70
Az 292; El -16
Az 118; El 50
Az 045; El 65
Az 315; El 13
Az 234; El 01
Az 101; El 27
Az 076; El 36
Az 144; El 44
Az 129; El 24
Az 322; El -08

### 15 SEP 96

Az 259; El -01
Az 197; El 34
Az 170; El 68
Az 223; El 18
Az 282; El 18
Az 036; El 70
Az 262; El -07
Az 308; El 75

### 30 SEP 96

Az 216; El 72
Az 085; El 28
Az 255; El 21
Az 084; El 15
Az 202; El -04
Az 146; El 21
Az 177; El 76
Az 301; El -27
Az 136; El 60
Az 026; El 72
Az 321; El 04
Az 241; El -09
Az 110; El 40
Az 081; El 48
Az 164; El 50
Az 141; El 33
Az 335; El -14

### 30 SEP 96

Az 067; El -06
Az 211; El 29
Az 208; El 66
Az 233; El 08
Az 289; El 06
Az 004; El 75
Az 269; El -20
Az 297; El 64



(Continued from page 2)

of stars in our galaxy we see as we look through the plane of the galaxy towards its center. Long ago ancient peoples named our galaxy the "Milky Way" because they thought this band of light looked like spilled milk across the sky.

Two meteor showers peak during this period. Don't expect too much from the South Delta Aquarids on July 18, but the Perseids on August 12 are among the best of the meteor showers. From a dark location you may see as many as 60 "shooting stars" per hour. The best equipment for viewing is simply your own eyes. Just find a dark location, get comfortable, look skyward and be patient. A meteor is just a piece of space debris (dust to pebble size) that slams into the Earth's atmosphere and burns up from friction with the air molecules.

The Moon phases are: Jul 23 - First Quarter; Jul 30 - Full Moon; Aug 6 - Last Quarter; Aug 14 - New Moon; Aug 21 - First Quarter; Aug 28 - Full Moon; Sep 4 - Last Quarter; Sep 12 - New Moon.

If you have an astronomical question please contact me.



(Continued from page 1)

ing system listing of all incident reports received - including reports not investigated; but this results in a mixed bag of unverified data that establishes nothing and, at worst, opens the door for fanatics, kooks and detractors to create chaos. Also, the problem of following up on open-ended reports is amplified greatly.

Many state newsletters publish short briefs of reported sightings but the quality ranges from detailed and validated to rumor and speculation. The Indiana *UFO Intelligence*

*Newsletter* publishes a one-line per incident listing of what they consider valid reports. This provides minimal when, where, what and source data, but access to the original reports, if they exist, may or may not be available. It is, however, an excellent data source for research surveys. The *National UFO Reporting Center* in Seattle, Washington, also prints a one-line incident listing of all reports received by them. Their small print and landscape (long) format allows about 100 digits for a brief description, but all incidents are unverified.

Ohio and Louisiana had discussed ideas for a summary of reports in a MUFON yearbook, an annual publication of all reports received during that year. Requiring an incident brief as a part of each incident report would greatly reduce the editor's workload, but a heavy workload is still there and cost is a problem.

Attached for your information is a copy of two forms LA MUFON issued over a year ago to try and resolve some of these same problems. (LA MUFON Forms 1 & 2 are printed back to back here so that this newsletter can remain within postal weight limits.) Form 1 is simply an attempt to remind others of the type of data needed to initiate an investigation and to notify a MUFON director of the incident. The back of the form is blank to provide additional writing space. Form 2 serves as a check list, summary sheet and routing sheet for the completed incident report. A review of the Documents Check List at the bottom of the form shows how thorough a properly conducted investigation should be. Printed on the back of Form 2 is Jacques Vallee's 4 (types) by 5 (categories) *Incident Classification Chart* and the 20 definitions of UFO incidents illustrated to help investigators classify and define the incident. This classification system was first published in Vallee's 1972 book, *The UFO Experience: A Scientific Inquiry*, and is reprinted in Chapter XVIII, *MUFON Field Investigator's Guide*. These forms have helped training and possibly report writing, but haven't increased reports.

Membership status is a touchy subject. Immediate appointment as a

Field Investigator Trainee (FIT) and relatively easy upgrading to higher levels undoubtedly attracts some new members, but the wide open door degrades MUFON in the eyes of some it depends on for cooperation and support; i.e., law enforcement, academics and scientists.


Many SDs are pushing a two-tiered membership: 1) associate membership for those simply interested in getting the journal and 2) full membership with activity titles for those actively participating in MUFON. Each choice raises questions about standards and qualifications, training, appointment authority, and finances.

Concerned by automatic appointments to higher positions without local clearance, LA MUFON has suggested the following as one alternative: Appointment as an *Apprentice Field Investigator* on successful completion of the FI exam, and to *Field Investigator* on completion of a formal training program or self-training plus completion of an investigation and submission of a proper report. Recommendation of the State or International Director is needed to insure compliance with standards. *Research Specialists* and *Consultants* should continue to require Masters and Doctorate degrees or the equivalent experience, but a statement of their intention and willingness to actively participate in a MUFON program in that capacity should be added. A *Master Field Investigator* might be considered for those conducting training programs, etc. The downside of all of this is extra workload and records for the staff.

Running an effective volunteer organization is never easy and running MUFON, which has members active in 50 states and 41 foreign countries, isn't an exception. Drawing up procedures and guidelines is the easy part. Finding qualified volunteers willing to contribute their time and resources to carry out those tasks at the local, state and international levels is the real challenge. Turning these volunteers into productive teams is a still greater challenge.

If MUFON is to survive, the challenge must be met.





## WHAT ARE UFOs? AND WHY THE SECRECY?

*UFO Intelligence Newsletter, June 1996, UFO  
Filter Center, Mt. Vernon, Indiana; Francis  
Ridge, Director and Editor.*

It is the purpose of this monograph to consider briefly some of the human survival implications suggested by the various principal hypothesis concerning the nature of the phenomena loosely categorized as UFO.

1. **ALL UFOs ARE HOAXES:** From the time when hoaxes were first noted in history, they were characterized by infrequency of occurrence and usually by a considerable restriction of their geographic extent. Rarely have men of science, while acting within their professional capacities, perpetrated hoaxes. The fact that UFO phenomenon have been witnessed all over the world from ancient times, and by considerable numbers of reputable scientists in recent times, indicates rather strongly that UFOs are not all hoaxes. Rather than diminishing, if anything, the modern trend is toward increased reports, from all sources. In one three month period in 1953 (June, July and August) Air Force records show 35 sightings whose nature could not be determined. If UFOs, contrary to all indications and expectations, are indeed hoaxes — hoaxes of a worldwide dimension — hoaxes of increasing frequency, then a human mental aberration of alarming proportions would appear to be developing. Such an aberration would seem to have serious implications for nations equipped with nuclear toys — and should require immediate and careful study by scientists.

4. 2. **ALL UFOs ARE HAL-**

**LUCINATIONS:** People, of course, do hallucinate. Although groups of people hallucinating is rare, it has been known to happen. Machines have their own form of hallucination; the radar, in particular, "sees" temperature inversions. But a considerable number of instances exist in which there are groups of people and a radar or radars seeing the same thing at the same time; sometimes a person and a guncamera confirm each other's testimony. On occasion, physical evidence of a circumstantial nature was reported to have been found to support witnessed sightings. A continuing high percentage of reports of unusual aerial objects are being reported by people in responsible positions in science, government, and industry. The sum of such evidence seems to argue strongly against all UFOs being hallucinations. In spite of all the evidence to the contrary, if UFOs did turn out to be largely illusionary, the psychological implications for man would certainly bring into strong question his ability to distinguish reality from fantasy. The negative effect on man's ability to survive in an increasingly complex world would be considerable — making it imperative that such a growing impairment of the human capacity for rational judgment be subjected to immediate and thorough scientific study — so that the illness could be controlled before it reaches epidemic proportions.

3. **ALL UFOs ARE NATURAL PHENOMENA:** If this hypothesis is correct the capability of air warning systems to correctly diagnose an attack situation is open to serious question.

a. Many UFOs have been reported by trained military observers to behave like high speed, high performance rockets or aircraft. The apparent solidity and craft-like shape of the objects have often been subject to radar confirmation. If such objects can appear to trained military men as rockets or aircraft and if such objects should come over the Arctic from the direction of Russia on the United States, they could trigger "false reports of missile attacks".

b. Many responsible military officers have developed a mental

"blind spot" to objects which appear to have the characteristics of UFOs. Such an attitude is an open invitation to the enemy to build a replica of the phenomena in order to penetrate the "hole" in his adversaries' defenses. Was this the purpose of the lens-shaped reentry vehicle tested by the U.S. Air Force in 1960 and recently featured in the Washington, D.C. *Evening Star*, dated 24 September 1968, page A4?

c. Sometimes the phenomena appear to defy radar detection and to cause massive electromagnetic interference. Surely it is very important to discover the nature of these objects or plasmas before any prospective enemy can use their properties to build a device or system to circumvent or jam our air and space detection system. Any nation certainly could use a system or device to penetrate enemy defenses.

4. **SOME UFOs ARE SECRET EARTH PROJECTS:** The above referenced U.S. Air Force reentry vehicle and an often publicized Canadian "saucer" project leave little doubt as to the validity of this hypothesis. Undoubtedly, all UFOs should be carefully scrutinized to ferret-out such enemy (or "friendly") projects. Otherwise a nation faces the very strong possibility of being intimidated by a new secret "doomsday" weapon.

5. **SOME UFOs ARE RELATED TO EXTRATERRESTRIAL INTELLIGENCE:** According to some eminent scientists closely associated with the study of this phenomena, this hypothesis cannot be disregarded. (The well-documented sightings over Washington, D.C. in 1952 strongly support this view.) This hypothesis has a number of far-reaching human survival implications:

a. If "they" discover you, it is an old but hardly invalid rule of thumb, "they" are your technological superiors. Human history has shown us time and time again the tragic results of a confrontation between a technologically superior civilization and a technologically inferior people. The "inferior" is usually subject to physical conquest.

b. Often in the past, a tech-



nologically superior people are also possessors of a more virile or aggressive culture. In a confrontation between two peoples of significantly different cultural levels, those having the inferior or less virile culture, most often suffer a tragic loss of identity and are usually absorbed by the other people.

c. Some peoples who were technologically and/or culturally inferior to other nations have survived — have maintained their identity — have equalized the differences between them and their adversaries. The Japanese people have given us an excellent example of the methods required to achieve such a survival:

(1) Full and honest acceptance of the nature of the inferiorities separating you from the advantages of the other peoples.

(2) Complete national solidarity in all positions taken in dealing with the other culture.

(3) Highly controlled and limited intercourse with the other side — doing only those actions advantageous to the foreigner which you are absolutely forced to do by circumstances.

(4) A correct but friendly attitude toward the other peoples.

(5) A national eagerness to learn everything possible about the other culture — its technological and cultural strengths and weaknesses. This often involves sending selected groups and individuals to the other's country to become one of his kind, or even to help him in his wars against other adversaries.

(6) Adopting as many of the advantages of the opposing people as you can, and doing it as fast as possible — while still protecting your own identity by molding each new knowledge increment into your own cultural cast.

6. COMMENT: Although this paper has hardly exhausted the possible hypotheses related to the UFO phenomena, those mentioned above are the principal ones presently put forward. All of them have serious survival implications. The final answer to this mystery will probably include more than one of the above hypotheses.

Up until this time, the leisurely scientific approach has too often taken precedence in dealing with UFO questions. If you are walking along a forest path and someone yells, "rattler", your reaction would be immediate and defensive. You would not take time to speculate before you act. You would have to treat the alarm as if it were a real and immediate threat to your survival. Investigation would become an intensive emergency action to isolate the threat and to determine its precise nature. It would be geared to developing adequate defensive measures in a minimum amount of time.

It would seem a little more of this survival attitude is called for in dealing with the UFO problem.

Observations of chimpanzees while in a captive environment have shown that the animals tend to become confused or disoriented. Since they do not usually have adult chimps to teach them how to become good apes, they are not even sure of their behavior. Often their actions are patterned after human behavior and would have virtually no survival value in the wild. Lacking the challenge of environmental adaptation, the bodies of the animal atrophy and become subject to many diseases — mostly unknown in their wild counterparts. Reactions to stimulus usually become less responsive and suitable. Sex often becomes a year-long preoccupation instead of seasonal madness.


Do the captivity characteristics of modern civilization cause a similar lessening of man's adaptive capability, of his health, of this ability to recognize reality, of his ability to survive?

Perhaps the UFO question might even make man undertake studies which could enable him to construct a society which is most conducive to developing a completely human being, healthy in all respects of mind and body — and most important able to recognize and adapt to real environmental situations.

*(Italics added to clarify document identity and authorship) I would like to take credit for this monograph, but I cannot. What it says is something many of us need to hear once again.*

*It's also for those who don't know their history or the UFO subject as well as they thought. Also, it is for those who have forgotten. It is truly a great paper. But this paper was classified "SECRET" In 1968. What I just tricked you into reading is a verbatim account of the draft by the National Security Agency, entitled "UFO HYPOTHESIS AND SURVIVAL QUESTIONS". This paper makes the "Brookings Institute Report" pale in comparison. It also explains why some feel that the human race is not ready for the truth about UFOs or the realization that we are not alone. — Francis Ridge.*

(An article, "The Brookings Report: NASA's Other Challenge", was included in the March-April, 1996, issue of *LA MUFON*. That issue dealt largely with Hoagland's allegations that NASA has suppressed evidence of artifacts on the Moon.)



Mongo, IN

1994

## ROCKEFELLER DONATES FUNDS


POST, New York, Feb 26, 1996; courtesy UFO Intelligence Newsletter, UFO Filter Center, Mt. Vernon, IN.

Lawrence Rockefeller is about to start lobbying political honchos even harder in his dogged search for extraterrestrial life. The 85-year-old philanthropist, nephew of Nelsen, recently commissioned a report on UFOs called, "Unidentified Flying Objects: The Best Available Evidence." To be released today, copies of the 150-page analysis will be given to 1,000 world leaders, including Henry Kissinger, Colin Powell, Jacques Chirac and Walter Annenberg, in an effort to press the U.S. Government to release secret files on UFOs. Michael Luckman, director of the New York Center for UFO Research, says that Rockefeller,



(Continued from page 5)

who's already donated more than \$700,000 to a UFO foundation, also wants former government intelligence officers released from their security oaths so they can talk about the supposed evidence.



Shard on Moon

Photo 1967

LA MUFON presents this paper in the continuing debate over the ruins on the Moon and the monuments on Mars.

## PUT NASA TO SHAME

A SPECIAL REPORT ON CLEMENTINE

By Whit Wise; from *National UFO News*, Mar/Apr 1996. Wise is a graduate of the USMA (West Point) and has an M.S. from the University of West Florida. He served as an Airborne Ranger, has been a professional Educator and Educational Administrator for 12 years, founded and has owned a business for 15 years, and is involved in civic and political activities.

All of the information in this article is from "Leak" of an Anomalous Clementine Multi-Spectral Mosaic, by Richard Hoagland. For more information contact The Mars Mission, 201-863-2005, FAX 201-271-1703

After completing the lunar mapping phase of the mission, a *Clementine* computer failed resulting in the depletion of all on board altitude-control fuel. The spacecraft was then unable to point its multi-spectral sensors. But before this occurred, the craft had successfully returned more than 25,000 images per day for more than 60 days. According to NASA source-archives quality digital images were to become available by the end of CY 1994.

The plan was to achieve worldwide Internet availability of all *Clementine* data including visual images, multi-spectral composites, laser altimetry, and global maps-data

6. approaching 400 gigabytes of in-

formation.

The Pentagon's Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO) set the *Clementine* priorities before the mission. But during the mission, a major revision occurred and the mission shifted from an attitude of "do a little science" because it was a national security mission, to a radically revised "prepare as much science as you can."

An internal briefing document dated April 5, 1994, by David E. Smith from the Laboratory for Terrestrial Physics, NASA-GSFC and Maria T. Zuber from the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, included the statement "Put NASA to shame."

In direct contradiction to this memo, almost nothing from the *Clementine* mission has been officially released. Of the 2 million *Clementine* images acquired during two months of lunar orbit, 99.9% of the data have been withheld from all scientific scrutiny, as well as from the press and the public.

But as fate (?) would have it, on January 20, 1995, an individual associated with The Mars Mission (TMM) happened upon a *Clementine* image anonymously uploaded on the internet. It was a very different kind of image, one which proved to be very difficult to interpret, but it was painstakingly analyzed and a major breakthrough occurred in the continuing investigation of the possibility of artificial structures on the moon.

The leaked image turned out to be a Near Infra Red (NIR) photo. But there was no code or legend accompanying the image, making it extremely difficult to correlate to the visual light photographs of any known region on the lunar surface.

To understand the problem, a brief explanation of an NIR image is necessary. *Clementine* carried several different cameras in addition to a standard visible light camera. The image that was leaked was a "shifted color" image. In this case, the use of six selective near-infrared filters resulted in real colors downshifted to a region just beyond the red end of the visible electromagnetic spectrum.

The resulting images show the composition of the material on the

surface. In other words, different colors on the image represent different types of rocks, soils, and minerals on the lunar surface. The colors which appeared on the image are the result of light that is either reflected, absorbed, or "fluoresced." If you have kicked sand on the beach at night and seen the light emitted by phosphorus in the sand, you have seen the effect of fluoresced light. If the *Clementine* cameras had been pointed at earth, they would have been able to distinguish surfaces composed of refined materials, concrete and glass. Of course, researchers had not anticipated "seeing" any such material on the lunar surface.

NASA/USGS geologists working with BMDO's *Clementine* Mission expected to be able to map regional lava flows and surface distributions of ancient lava upwellings on the moon, by lava type and age. Based on the sample of lunar rock returned by the Apollo astronauts, NASA geologists expected to see scatterings of the two lunar rock types thought to make up the surface of the moon.

The most familiar lunar feature impact craters were expected to show up as bright splashes of contrasting color. It was theorized that the meteorite punching through to underlying rocks created by earlier flows would have mixed different types of rocks in the resulting ejecta blanket.

Careful inspection of APP172.JPG (NASA's ID for the leaked *Clementine* image) shattered all such expectations. After the image was matched to a known region, it was discovered to represent an area approximately 562-522 miles, or almost 300,000 square miles of lunar surface. It turned out to be a composite of 500 individual *Clementine* NIR frames.

What was observed on the image was regular, complex, geometric patterns. Specific surface areas, particularly the interior of three impact craters, appear to be covered with material organized in straight lines, squares, and rectangles. The photo reveals distinctive geometric patterns impossible to explain in terms of any simple "meteorite impact on breccia-strewn ancient lava surface."



Detailed examination of enlargements of APP172.JPG reveals sections dominated by large scale, repeating, red and blue hexagonal patterns. The interwoven geometric patterns, while maintaining their original separate colors, suddenly become much darker, a situation consistent with differing elevations of structures, with some sections seeing radically diminished sunlight.

The condensed version of the explanation is simple. We are observing large structures of intergent design on the surface of the moon which is covered by an intricately designed glass dome.

Of course this explanation seems preposterous until the evidence is analyzed. But when analyzed, and compared with corroborating evidence of decades of recorded lunar peculiarities, it makes perfect sense. For decades there have been reports from many highly respected astronomical observers indicating anomalous "temporary hazes" and transient "surface obstructions" and suspicious varying color phenomenon, all occurring on a gray colorless, vacuum surface.

During the February, 1971 landing of Apollo 14, a remotely operated detector was left by the astronauts at a location called "Fra Nlauro," about 100 miles west of "Sinus Medii". The instrument was called SIDE, for Suprathermal Ion Detector Experiment. SIDEs were ultimately placed at three Apollo landing sites, 12, 14 and 15, across the moon's earthside. SIDE's purpose was to trace gases in the lunar surface environment, including ionized molecules issuing from possible volcanic eruptions on the moon.

Before the third Apollo 15 instrument was landed in August, 1971, earlier SIDE detectors at previous landing sites, 12 and 14, simultaneously detected a lunar outgassing of preeminent significance. The measurements made by instruments 108 miles apart, indicated a massive extrusion of water vapor.

The NASA scientists who devised the SIDE experiment, Freeman and Hills (Rice University) described the event as "one of the most exciting

discoveries yet." Because of the amount of water detected, the scientists concluded it was clearly a major outgassing from the interior of the moon.

But this conclusion was in direct contradiction to all of NASA's previous assertions, based on the return and analysis of hundreds of pounds of bone-dry surface moonrocks "a million times as dry as the Gobi Desert."

Intriguingly, Freeman and Hills, connected the water outgassing incident to another lunar anomaly, which was developed as a direct result of the Apollo missions. The anomaly is the detection of "moon quakes" transmitted to earth via the Passive Seismic Experiment (PSE) placed on the surface by Apollo astronauts.

According to Freeman and Hill, a moonquake coincided with the water event. They figured the internal tectonic processes triggered the release of water which was eventually detected on the surface. This was their conclusion.

Their reasoning is sound, but analysis of moonquakes presents more questions than it answers. The seismic data presents too many contradictions. Without covering the details of the analysis, the only conclusion scientists can reach when evaluating the lunar earthquake data is that the moon is hollow.

Now we have two completely preposterous lunar theories supported by hard evidence. Theory One, the moon has water trapped under the surface, and Theory Two, the moon is hollow.

But the lunar dome model supports both the water evidence and the seismic evidence. According to TMM theory, the structures on the moon are in a state of deterioration. As the ancient architectural structures beneath the dome deteriorate, chambers which were sealed for thousands of years release water vapor.

The echoes or "ringings" which are recorded on seismic instruments are completely consistent with the type of readings which would occur under a large dome. The extreme persistence of the signal and the intense scattering of the signal-seeming to come from all directions, despite each epicenter lying at a specific Az-

imuth to a particular Apollo instrument.

All of this pre-Clementine evidence led two senior members of the Russian Academy of Sciences, and an American historian, to conclude that the moon we now observe may in fact have been heavily influenced by extraterrestrial design.

There you have it. The Clementine project is one which is destined to change the shape of American politics. Questions regarding the basic freedoms of our country must be addressed. The validity of the U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights are on the line.

Readers must pressure U.S. Congressmen and Senators to "come clean as a hound's tooth." The American people deserve the truth. If there is nothing to the astonishing claims of The Mars Mission and the extraterrestrial lunar hypothesis, then release the photographs. Let the scientific community and the press have access to the data. If TMM is wrong, they will be proven wrong in the ensuing analysis.

It is preposterous to claim national security by concerns regarding the scientific data collected by Clementine. It is all the more likely that the government of this country is reluctant to release the data because 1.) it will show NASA has been keeping the truth from us for more than 30 years and 2.) the government continues to believe the public cannot handle the truth, and civil disorder will ensue.



(Continued from page 1)

not released the names of the Labs.

A. J. Gevaerd, Brazil's Director, described his investigation into a January incident in which the Brazilian Army allegedly captured at least two aliens.

K. Randle found precedents

(Continued on page 8)

(Continued from page 7)

for all types of UFO phenomena in the science fiction of the 1920s and 30s and asked "Does Pop Culture Affect Our Views?"

C. Styles provided many more details on the 1967 Shag Harbour UFO crash in Canada.

There were nine other speakers and many more experts shared their knowledge and ideas in the lobbies, rooms and dining rooms.



Shiraz, Iran

1978

## FUFOR ANNOUNCES KEYHOE JOURNALISM AWARDS

Fund for UFO Research

The winner of the 1995 Donald E. Keyhoe Journalism Award for excellence in investigative reporting on the UFO subject is Ms. Gillian Sender of Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Her winning article, "Out of This World," was published in the February 1995 issue of *Milwaukee Magazine*.

Mr. Robert A. Galganski of West Seneca, NY, merited second place for his article "Scanning the Skies," published in the 20 August 1995 edition of the Dunkirk, NY, *Evening Observer*.

The first and second place awards

\$750 and \$250 respectively. The award is intended to encourage high quality reporting: investigative reporting in particular and scientific reporting of UFO sightings in general. The selection panel unanimously agreed both of the above articles were serious attempts to delve into the UFO phenomenon for the purpose of uncovering and reporting new information.

## PENTHOUSE BUYS 1940 ALIEN PHOTOS

*Knight-Ridder Newspapers*

Bob Guccione is said to have forked over between \$50,000 and \$200,000 for "first photos of an actual (space) alien" to be published in September's *Penthouse*. "I am absolutely 100 percent convinced" of their authenticity, the publisher said of the photos allegedly taken by U.S. military in the 1940s. He compares the coup to an "actual photograph of Jesus Christ hanging on a cross."

(Ed Note: We won't even comment on this!)

## CARNIVORES IN SPACE

*The ADVOCATE*, May 1, 1996, Smiley Anders column.

Ellen Nordyke says when grandson, Drew, 6, quizzed his mother, Jan Cook, about outer space she explained about the sun, stars and planets.

Drew considered all this, then asked her about the fireballs in the sky.

## TO REPORT A UFO



**1-800-UFO-2166**

**1-800-836-2166**

*A consolidated scientific effort*

*Sponsored by:*

CUFOS  
Center for  
UFO Studies

FUFOR  
Fund for  
UFO Research

MUFON  
Mutual UFO  
Network

## LA MUFON CONTACTS

W. L. "Barney" Garner, SD  
Baton Rouge 504-766-7207  
Mike Sandras, ASD-SELa  
New Orleans 504-340-0256  
David Slay, ASD-SWLa  
Lake Charles 318-855-4293  
George Sewell, ASD-NLa  
Shreveport/B. Cty 318-742-9217  
Scott Arnett, SSD  
Covington 504-893-6119  
Fay Barfield, SSD  
Lake Charles 318-443-8981  
Patricia Cates, SSD  
Colfax 318-627-5018  
Mike del Rio, SSD  
Baton Rouge 504-291-8733  
Mike James, SSD  
Houma/Thib- 504-868-7198  
Jerry Olivier, SSD  
Lafayette 318-237-1411

"You know, Mom," he said, "those meateaters!"

So Jan explained to him about "aliens, or meat-eaters, whatever ...

**LA MUFON**  
**752 Daventry Drive**  
**Baton Rouge, LA 70808**



and submitter  
Mutual UFO Network

Tom THEOFANOUS  
The Canadian Ufologist  
1455 Lawrence Avenue, #1503  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M6L1B1



# INCIDENT REPORT COVER SHEET (LA MUFON Form 2.)

LOUISIANA  
Mutual UFO Network

This form serves as a routing sheet, check list and summary sheet for LA MUFON UFO incident reports. Protocols for conducting UFO incident investigations and instructions for preparing incident report forms and the investigator's written report are contained in the MUFON Field Investigator's Manual. Contact your State Section Director, Assistant State Director or State Director if you need help.

TO: (In Order)

DIRECTOR'S OK

- ( ) State Section Director \_\_\_\_\_  
( ) State Director \_\_\_\_\_  
( ) Regional Director \_\_\_\_\_  
( ) Deputy Director for Investigations \_\_\_\_\_

Location of Incident: \_\_\_\_\_

Time & Date of Incident: \_\_\_\_\_

Type & Duration of Incident: \_\_\_\_\_

Local Evaluation: \_\_\_\_\_

Significance of Incident: \_\_\_\_\_

## INVESTIGATOR(S):

Name(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Name(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

## PRIMARY WITNESS(ES):

Name(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Name(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Comments: (Problems, recommendations, questions.)

## DOCUMENTS CHECK LIST: (Documents included with report)

### Required: (Check as verified)

- ( ) MUFON Form 2 - Computer Input.  
( ) Investigator's Written Report; to include:  
Report heading data;  
Background (how, when first information received);  
Chronological summary of incident;  
Investigator's activity log;  
Interview description and impression of witness(es);  
Checks for additional witnesses;  
Checks for possible natural phenomena causes;  
Checks for possible man-made causes;  
Checks for other possible causes (mental, etc.);  
Witness background check;  
Evaluation and significance of incident;  
Signature;  
Abstract for news briefs.

### Optional: (Enter number of each form attached)

- ( ) MUFON Form(s) 1 - General Cases. (The key document(s) in most reports).  
( ) MUFON Form(s) 3 - Electrical/Magnetic Cases.  
( ) MUFON Form(s) 4 - Animal Effect Cases.  
( ) MUFON Form(s) 5 - Psycho/Physiological Cases.  
( ) MUFON Form(s) 6 - Landing/Traces/Artifacts.  
( ) MUFON Form(s) 7 - Entity Cases.  
( ) MUFON Form(s) 8 - Photographic Cases.  
( ) MUFON Form(s) 9 - Radar Cases.  
( ) MUFON Form(s) 10 - Residual Radiation Cases.  
( ) MUFON Form(s) 11 - Aerial Sightings Cases.  
( ) Drawings, sketches, etc.  
( ) Newspaper clippings, etc.  
( ) Photographs.  
( ) Other.



# UFO INCIDENT INITIAL REPORT (LA MUFON Form 1)

LOUISIANA  
Mutual UFO Network

This form is designed to give the Mutual UFO Network (MUFON) enough information to decide if and how a UFO incident should be investigated. To alert MUFON to a recent UFO incident about which you have first hand, or reliable second hand, information, telephone or mail this information to one of the MUFON directors listed below. Use the back of this form or additional pages if necessary to complete your report. Telephone a director if you need help, or if the incident is significant.

Telephone or Mail To: \_\_\_\_\_; Tel: (\_\_\_\_) \_\_\_\_\_

Or to: W. L. Garner; MUFON State Director; 752 Davenport Drive; Baton Rouge, LA 70808; Tel: (504) 766-7207.

Reported By: (Name, Address, Telephone.)

Date, Time, Location of Incident:

Brief of Incident: (Chronological summary of incident; Key witnesses; Photos or other evidence; Helpful contacts.)

For LA MUFON use: (Forward to State Director.)

Conclusions Thus Far:

Status of Incident:

- ( ) Closed. Not a UFO incident.
- ( ) Closed. Insufficient evidence.
- ( ) Investigation continuing; Will advise further.
- ( ) Request Assistance.
- ( )

Investigator:

LA MUFON Form 1, 3/95