



MSI PATCH SHEET

Caveats



F/I

Another "Smoking Gun"?

by

Robert G. Todd

Another smoking gun has surfaced which furnishes physical evidence that seems to implicate Bill Moore as the author of the alleged Eisenhower briefing, as well as the Truman-Forrestal memo appended to the briefing.

Anybody who has ever been the recipient of mail from Bill Moore will recognize the rather distinctive return address on his envelopes (see Figures 2, 3, and 4). Because of the large size of the type used in Moore's rubber stamp, his return address appears sideways on the envelopes. Moreover, as evident in Figures 2 through 4, it appears that Bill Moore uses a rubber stamp assembled from a kit that uses movable, reusable type that allows him to make up any stamp he desires. This is indicated by several characteristics:

1. As Figures 2, 3, and 4 show, Moore used the same size and style of type for his Arizona address as he now uses in his California address.
2. In all occurrences of the letter "I" in "WILLIAM" and "OLIVE" (see Figures 3 and 4), the letter is slightly raised, a characteristic common to rubber stamps assembled from such kits.
3. Moore's return address has a rather sloppy, unprofessional look to it, again suggesting that he assembled the stamps from a kit. Either that, or he had custom rubber stamps made up for him, for both his Arizona and California addresses, by a firm that does consistently shoddy work.
4. A comparison of Figure 3, which is Moore's return address from the 1985 time period, with Figure 4, his return address from 1990, will show that the ZIP code portion of the address in the 1990 example is placed closer to the state abbreviation for California. Again, this suggests that the stamp was assembled from a kit of movable, reusable type.

Even more interesting -- and incriminating -- is the fact that the type style Bill Moore uses for his return address stamp is identical to the type style used for the classification markings ("TOP SECRET/MAJIC" and "EYES ONLY") that appear on the Eisenhower briefing document, although the size of the type is larger for the Eisenhower briefing. As evident from Moore's return addresses, however, he obviously has more than one type style, so there is every reason to believe that he may indeed have more than one size of the same type style.

In addition, the classification markings (see Figure 1) that appear on the Eisenhower briefing paper also appear to have been applied using a rubber stamp assembled from a kit employing movable, reusable type. Again, note the telltale raised letter "I" in the word "MAJIC".

No examples were found of Moore's return address that show type of the same size as that used for the classification markings on the Eisenhower briefing paper. This shouldn't come as any surprise because type that large has limited uses. For example, if Moore were to use a type size comparable to that used for the classification markings on the briefing document, his return address wouldn't fit on the envelope at all. As noted above, the type size he already uses apparently forces him to apply his address sideways. If it were any larger, he wouldn't be able to use the rubber stamp for his return address.

Even more interesting is the fact that the type used by Bill Moore in his return address is identical in both style and size to the type used in the "EYES ONLY" portion of the classification markings appearing on the Truman-Forrestal memo. Phil Klass has already proven that the signature on the Truman-Forrestal memo is a photocopy forgery.

While it must be acknowledged that there are perhaps many such rubber stamp kits in existence, it must also be considered a highly significant coincidence that the same person who is distributing the document also uses just such a kit that employs type identical in style to that used on the classification markings appearing on the Eisenhower briefing paper, and identical in both style and size to the "EYES ONLY" portion of the classification markings appearing on the Truman-Forrestal memo. Now it would appear we have evidence suggesting that Bill Moore, specifically, may be the author.

#####

January 23, 1990

Attachment,
Figures 1 through 9

TOP SECRET / MAJIC

004

EYES ONLY

* TOP SECRET *

EYES ONLY

COPY ONE OF ONE.

FIG. 1 -- Classification marking from
Eisenhower briefing paper,
top of page 4.

FULK	W. L. MOORE
RATE	PO BOX 189
PERMIT	
NO. 02	DEWEY, AZ. 86327

FIG. 2 -- Moore's return address, circa
February 1984.

WILLIAM L. MOORE
PUBLICATIONS & RESEARCH
4219 W. OLIVE. SUITE 247
BURBANK, CA. 91505

FIG. 3 -- Moore's return address, circa
March 1985.

WILLIAM L. MOORE
PUBLICATIONS & RESEARCH
4219 W. OLIVE. SUITE 247
BURBANK, CA. 91505

FIG. 4 -- Moore's return address, circa
January 1990.

TOP SECRET
EYES ONLY

FIG. 5 -- Classification markings from top of Truman-Forrestal memo.

EYES ONLY

FIG. 6 -- "EYES ONLY" portion of classification markings at bottom of page 4, Eisenhower briefing paper. Note that type style is identical to that of "EYES ONLY" portion in FIG. 5.

W. L. MOORE
PO BOX 189
DEWEY, AZ. 86327

FIG. 7
1984 Moore Sample



WILLIAM L. MOORE
PUBLICATIONS & RESEARCH
4219 W. OLIVE, SUITE 247
BURBANK, CA. 91505

FIG. 8
1990 Moore Sample

NOTE: Type styles in figures 7 and 8 are identical to type styles in Figures 5 and 6. Sizes of type in Figures 7 and 8 are also identical to "EYES ONLY" portion of Figure 5.

ROBERT Q. TODD
2528 Belmont Avenue
Ardmore, PA 19003-2617

William L. Moore
4219 West Olive Avenue
Suite 247
Burbank, CA 91505



WILLIAM L. MOORE PUBLICATIONS & RESEARCH
PROJECT, INC.; "FOCUS"
SPECIALIZING IN UFOs, NEW AGE, METAPHYSICAL & OCCULT RESEARCH
4219 WEST OLIVE AVE., SUITE #247, BURBANK, CALIFORNIA 91505
PHONE (213) 463-0542 (10 AM - 6 PM)

06 FEB. '90

Robert G. Todd
2528 Belmont Ave.
Ardmore, PA 19003

Dear Robert Todd:

Thank you for sending me a copy of "Another 'Smoking Gun'?". It's an angle that, as far as I know, no one has looked at before, and a fascinating observation as well. My congratulations. I am presently working on a full report on the results of our documentary analysis efforts (behind schedule, as usual), and am making every effort to present all sides of the matter in as straight-forward, unbiased, objective a manner as possible. Fortunately, your paper arrived in time to allow me to work it into the larger picture-- for which I am grateful. (Imagine that!)

The only thing I object to in your approach is the rock throwing posture you have assumed even before you have gathered up all possible facts. For example:

- (1) Is the type involved part of a fixed stamp, or was it composed from movable, reusable type?
- (2) How common is that style of type in rubber stamps, and was it in use during the time period in question?
- (3) Would anyone in the government been likely to have used it?
- (4) Where did Bill Moore get the stamp he uses, and when?
- (5) How readily available was that type to the general public in mid-1984? (In other words, how easy would it have been in '84 for someone wishing to discredit Moore to have purchased a rubber stamp or had one specially made up using that same style of type?)

Answers to some of the above are easy. Others are going to take some research. Here's for starters:

(A) The type in my rubber stamp is the movable/reusable sort. cursory examination of the stampings on the alleged "Briefing Document" and the accompanying "Truman Memorandum" does indeed reveal certain characteristics suggestive of movable type as well, but I think it wise to do further research and seek other opinions before drawing a firm conclusion

(B) ?

(C) ?

(D) The rubber stamp kit I have is a versatile one which I

have had for a long, long time-- so long in fact that I no longer have the original box it came in. I keep the "spare pieces" in an old cigar box. I know I have had it since at least my college days, perhaps even high school; which means that it dates from mid-50s through mid-60s. If memory serves, I bought the thing in a Murphy's Five and Ten store in Pittsburgh. It was misplaced for a number of years during the '70s while I lived in Minnesota, and rediscovered in a box following my move to Arizona in 1979-- at which time I began using it again.

The set I have contains three different styles of type, all of which are displayed on one or the other of my return address stamps. As you have pointed out, two of these three styles seem to be similar if not identical to the ones used in the stampings on the MJ-12 documents. Whether this is significant or not, of course, depends upon the answers to questions 2 and 3 above. I do not have any other styles of type for that particular set; however I do have two other movable type rubber stamp kits, both with smaller type, which I use and which are incompatible with the set in question. One of these is employed in the "Bulk Rate Permit" stamp we use, and the other can be seen on my check deposit stamp. (Samples of all are enclosed.)

(E) Just after I moved to Arizona and had rediscovered this stamp kit in the process of unpacking, I happened to come across a similar set in an office supply store in Phoenix. Since I had plenty of movable type but could use another type holder, I inquired whether it was possible to purchase just a type holder alone without purchasing the rest of the set. It was, and I did. Unfortunately, I do not know if the type style in the set being sold was the same as the style I had or not since all I was interested in at the time was the holder.

All that can be concluded from this is that a similar movable type stamp kit was available as recently as ten years ago. That leaves as an open question the matter of availability of such a set with matching type either in the 1947-52 time frame, or in 1984. (Further research, however, is possible and I will undertake to do some.)

You have uncovered what would appear to be an important new approach to the question of these documents' authenticity. On the strength of that, I would like to propose that we consider putting aside whatever differences exist between us and see what more can be learned through cooperation. Once again, I invite you to come to L.A. and visit. Failing that, however, maybe we can agree to some sort of compromise. There is a chance I will be travelling to St. Louis in a couple of months. That's about half way between L.A. and Philly. How about meeting me there?

These documents present a very confusing and complicated situation. On the one hand, there is considerable evidence to suggest authenticity; while on the other, some very troubling inconsistencies have presented themselves, not the least of which are the mixed findings on the so-called "Truman Memorandum." The only thing I know for sure is that I didn't create those documents; and I don't believe Jaime Shandera, Stan Friedman, Rick

Doty, Phil Klass or Bob Todd did either. Given that, the truth must be one of the following:

Either: The documents are real;

Or: They are a clever mixture of real and phoney;

Or: The information in them is real, but the documents are all retyped;

Or: The documents are all phoney, but the information they contain is a combination of real information and disinformation;

Or: The whole thing is an elaborately contrived hoax.

No matter which of the above is true, the question of "motive" must be taken into account as well. The "why" is every bit as important as the "what" regardless of what the "what" turns out to be. Understanding the "big picture", of course, is an absolutely essential part of getting to the bottom of it all. There are some aspects of this that I simply will not put into writing or discuss on the phone at this time. That is why I have insisted on a face-to-face meeting as a condition of cooperation. Even though I do not have a particularly favorable impression of you as a person, I respect you as a researcher. Since the personality thing is largely a result of impressions gained through letters you've written and comments I've heard, I am prepared to put them out of the way and try to establish a clean slate. If you are willing to do the same, then let me hear from you. Seriously, L.A. is nice this time of year, and air fares are cheap. St. Louis is also an acceptable compromise, although I am not yet certain whether I will be making the trip there. If you wish to offer up some other alternative, I am prepared to consider same.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Bill". The signature is written in dark ink and is located in the lower right portion of the page.

STYLE "B"
(MEDIUM)

WILLIAM L. MOORE

PUBLICATIONS & RESEARCH
4219 W. OLIVE, SUITE 247
BURBANK, CA. 91505

ALL
FROM
SAME
KIT

(NOTE!)
STYLE "A"
(LARGE)

WILLIAM MOORE

PUBLICATIONS / RESEARCH
4219 W. OLIVE STE. 247
BURBANK, CA 91505

(KIT #1)

STYLE "C"
(SMALL)


BULK RATE PERMIT NO. 33
BURBANK, CA. 91505

KIT #2

W. MOORE PUBLICATIONS
FOR DEPOSIT
162 4000558

KIT #3

MOVABLE
TYPE
STAMP.



TOP SECRET
EYES ONLY
NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION

* TOP SECRET *

EYES ONLY

COPY ONE OF ONE.

BRIEFING DOCUMENT: OPERATION MAJESTIC 12

PREPARED FOR PRESIDENT-ELECT DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER: (EYES ONLY)

18 NOVEMBER, 1952

WARNING! This is a TOP SECRET - EYES ONLY document containing compartmentalized information essential to the national security of the United States. EYES ONLY ACCESS to the material herein is strictly limited to those possessing Majestic-12 clearance level. Reproduction in any form or the taking of written or mechanically transcribed notes is strictly forbidden.

* TOP SECRET *
TOP SECRET / MAJIC
EYES ONLY

EYES ONLY

T52-EXEMPT (E)

001

ADD TO
C-T to
qualch toll's
argument.

NOTE


Restricted
Security Info
& Conf.

C O P Y

THE WHITE HOUSE
Washington

~~RESTRICTED~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

March 17, 1953



Dear Mr. Cutler:

I am returning your letter to me dated March 16, 1953, with its enclosed report of recommendations regarding the National Security Council. I understand that in the preparation of this report you have had the assistance of the Director and Staff of the Bureau of the Budget and of the President's Advisory Committee on Government Organization.

I approve both your letter and the recommendations, and direct that they be circulated promptly to the Council for information and guidance.

Please call the special attention of Council Members to Part VI of the Report ("Planning Board of the Council"). It is my wish that you bring to me as soon as you conveniently can the names of persons nominated by department and agency heads as Members or Advisers of the Planning Board, who are approved by you, so that I can decide on their appointments and the Planning Board can begin to function. I place great emphasis on the selection of men of high calibre for these positions, able to devote plenty of time to their Planning Board functions; for thereby the Council will be better able to operate promptly and effectively.

You will submit the appropriate budget amendments for FY 1954 to the Bureau of the Budget and take up with Mr. Steffan the arrangement for necessary space in the Executive Offices Building, capable of being made into a restricted security area.

Sincerely yours,

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 10501, NOV. 6, 1953

NLE BY _____ DATE _____ /s/ Dwight D. Eisenhower

Mr. Robert Cutler
The White House

~~RESTRICTED~~
~~RESTRICTED~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

February 16, 1953

~~TOP SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION


COPY NO. 1

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

AGENDA

For the Meeting to be held in the
Conference Room of The White House,
on Wednesday, February 18, 1953, at 10:30 a.m.

*Notes
typed
not
rechecked
STK*



ITEMS FOR INFORMATION

ITEM 1 -- SIGNIFICANT WORLD DEVELOPMENTS AFFECTING U. S.
SECURITY

For an oral briefing on the subject by the
Acting Director of Central Intelligence.

ITEM 2 -- PROPOSAL FOR A VOLUNTEER FREEDOM CORPS
(NSC 143)

To note the memorandum by the President on the
subject (NSC 143), and discuss appropriate
methods for handling Council consideration
thereof.

ITEM 3 -- ARMAMENTS AND AMERICAN POLICY
(Memo for Senior NSC Staff from Executive Secretary,
same subject, dated February 4, 1953)

To note oral comments by the President regard-
ing the report by the Department of State Panel
of Consultants on Disarmament circulated via the
Senior NSC Staff by the reference memorandum.

ITEM 4 -- FORMULATION OF A UNITED STATES POSITION WITH RESPECT
TO THE REGULATION, LIMITATION AND BALANCED REDUCTION
OF ARMED FORCES AND ARMAMENTS

(Progress Report, dated January 19, 1953, by the
Under Secretary of State on NSC 112 and an Annex
thereto to be circulated)

To note the reference Progress Report by the
Under Secretary of State on the subject and the
Annex thereto, which sets forth the proposed
U.S. position on the subject at the forthcoming
meeting of the United Nations General Assembly
and also amends the report of January 19.

C O P Y

~~RESTRICTED~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

THE WHITE HOUSE
Washington

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



March 17, 1953

memo

Dear Mr. Cutler:

I am returning your letter to me dated March 16, 1953, with its enclosed report of recommendations regarding the National Security Council. I understand that in the preparation of this report you have had the assistance of the Director and Staff of the Bureau of the Budget and of the President's Advisory Committee on Government Organization.

I approve both your letter and the recommendations, and direct that they be circulated promptly to the Council for information and guidance.

Please call the special attention of Council Members to Part VI of the Report ("Planning Board of the Council"). It is my wish that you bring to me as soon as you conveniently can the names of persons nominated by department and agency heads as Members or Advisers of the Planning Board, who are approved by you, so that I can decide on their appointments and the Planning Board can begin to function. I place great emphasis on the selection of men of high calibre for these positions, able to devote plenty of time to their Planning Board functions; for thereby the Council will be better able to operate promptly and effectively.

You will submit the appropriate budget amendments for FY 1954 to the Bureau of the Budget and take up with Mr. Steffan the arrangement for necessary space in the Executive Offices Building, capable of being made into a restricted security area.

Sincerely yours,

Sam Crumb

/s/ Dwight D. Eisenhower

Mr. Robert Cutler
The White House

DECLASSIFIED

Authority

NSC memo 11/20/51

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DJR

NLE Date

2/18/82

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
WASHINGTON

~~RESTRICTED~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

(1)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



March 17, 1953

*also note:
2 different
FIC A*

*memo
STH*

MEMORANDUM FOR THE NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: Recommendations Regarding the National
Security Council

At the direction of the President his enclosed letter and its attachments consisting of a memorandum for the President from Mr. Cutler and his report on the subject are circulated herewith for the information and guidance of the National Security Council. Special attention is called to the third paragraph of the President's letter, about which Mr. Cutler will confer with you individually.

JAMES S. LAY, Jr.
Executive Secretary

cc: The Secretary of the Treasury
The Acting Director of Defense Mobilization
The Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff
The Director of Central Intelligence
The Director, Bureau of the Budget

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NSC Memo

By DTA NLE Date 3/18/82

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

3 June 1971

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD


Doc. 11a

SUBJECT : Meeting at DCI's Office Concerning
HTLINGUAL

1. At 10:30 a.m. this date, Mr. Helms convened in his office the DDP, the C/CI, the D/S, and C/CI/Project to report on recent action taken by him concerning the HTLINGUAL operation.

2. Mr. Helms stated that on Monday he had briefed Attorney General Mitchell on the operation. (Note: Mr. Helms may have meant Tuesday, 1 June, Monday having been a holiday). Mr. Helms indicated that Mr. Mitchell fully concurred in the value of the operation and had no "hang-ups" concerning it. When discussing the advisability of also briefing Postmaster General Blount, Mr. Mitchell encouraged Mr. Helms to undertake such a briefing.

3. The DCI then indicated that yesterday, 2 June 1971, he had seen Postmaster General Blount. Mr. Blount's reaction, too, was entirely positive regarding the operation and its continuation. He opined that "nothing needed to be done", and rejected a momentarily held thought of his to have someone review the legality of the operation as such a review would, of necessity, widen the circle of witting persons." Mr. Helms explained to the PMG that Mr. Cotter, the Chief Postal Inspector, has been aware of the operation for a considerable period of time by virtue of having been on the staff of CIA's New York Field Office. Mr. Helms showed the Postmaster General a few selected examples of the operation's product, including an item relating to Eldridge Cleaver, which attracted the PMG's special interest.



4. In an aside, Mr. Osborne mentioned that he had seen Mr. Cotter since Mr. Helms' meeting with the Post-

SECRET/YES ONLY

SECRET

master General and that Mr. Cotter reported that he felt that his stock with the Postmaster General had gone up several notches.

Doc. 11b

5. It was obvious that all present were gratified by the favorable reception Mr. Helms had met in briefing the two mentioned Cabinet officers.

6. The DCI took the occasion to stress again the security aspects of the operation and stipulated that, in the event of any sort of security flap or even a suspicion that a leak of some sort had occurred, the intercept operation was to cease immediately and our men were to be withdrawn to the New York City base. Mr. Helms wished to convey the importance of stopping first and investigating later. If a subsequent investigation showed that indeed no damage had occurred, it would then be possible to resume the operation.

7. Both Mr. Helms and Mr. Karamessines recommended tight control over the number of Agency persons cleared for, and witting of, the operation.

8. The meeting ended at 10:40 a.m.

~~SECRET/EYES ONLY~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

VIA AIR MAIL

22 JUL 1952

WHD-1 360.2/14

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Object

TO: Director of Intelligence
Headquarters, USAF
Attn: 2 A4 (Mrs. Jarcer)
Washington 25, D. C.

1. In accordance with telephone conversation request from Mrs. Jarcer on 18 July 1952, inclosed is a confidential negative of an unidentified flying object taken at 1930 hours 24 Feb 50 from Latil, New Mexico. A print from this negative was used as inclosure "A" to Report No. WHD-1 dated 25 July 1951 from HAFB and as an inclosure to a letter "Summary of Observations of Aerial Phenomena in the New Mexico Area, December 1945 - May 1950" dated 25 May 1950 from office of the Inspector General USAF, 17th District Office of Special Investigations, Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico to Brigadier General Joseph F. Carroll, Director of Special Investigations, Headquarters, USAF, Washington 25, D. C.

2. It is requested that this negative be returned after being used.

FOR THE COMMANDING OFFICER:

1 Incl
a/s

CLEMONS A. BARNUM
Lt. Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Operations

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 12356, Sec. 1.3

NND 841508

By Walewis DATE 7/25/85

SI 1400

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECURITY INFORMATION

WHD-1 360.2/14
Incl

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

VIA AIR MAIL

22 JUL 1952

WTHD-1 360.2/14

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Object

TO: Director of Intelligence
Headquarters, USAF
Attn: 2 M, (Mrs. Barber)
Washington 25, D. C.

1. In accordance with telephone conversation request from Mrs. Barber on 18 July 1952, inclosed is a confidential negative of an unidentified flying object taken at 1930 hours 24 Feb 50 from Batil, New Mexico. A print from this negative was used as inclosure "A" to Report No. WTHD-11 dated 25 July 1951 from HAFB and as an inclosure to a letter "Summary of Observations of Aerial Phenomena in the New Mexico Area, December 1940 - May 1950" dated 25 May 1950 from office of the Inspector General USAF, 17th District Office of Special Investigations, Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico to Brigadier General Joseph F. Carroll, Director of Special Investigations, Headquarters, USAF, Washington 25, D. C.

2. It is requested that this negative be returned after being used.

FOR THE COMMANDING OFFICER:

1 Incl
a/s

CLEMONS M. MARGUM
Lt. Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Operations

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

E. O. 12358, Sec. 2.3

NND 841508

Walewicz, HASS, Date 7/29/85

SI 14700

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECURITY INFORMATION

0-00000

WTHD 53-5012
Incl

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

VIA AIR MAIL

22 JUL 1952

WHED-1 360.2/24

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Object

TO: Director of Intelligence
Headquarters, USAF
Attn: 2 A4 (Mrs. Barber)
Washington 25, D. C.

1. In accordance with telephone conversation request from Mrs. Barber on 16 July 1952, inclosed is a confidential negative of an unidentified flying object taken at 1930 hours 24 Feb 50 from Datil, New Mexico. A print from this negative was used as inclosure "A" to Report No. WHED-41 dated 25 July 1951 from HAFB and as an inclosure to a letter "Summary of Observations of Aerial Phenomena in the New Mexico Area, December 1949 - May 1950" dated 25 May 1950 from office of the Inspector General USAF, 17th District Office of Special Investigations, Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico to Brigadier General Joseph V. Carroll, Director of Special Investigations, Headquarters, USAF, Washington 25, D. C.

2. It is requested that this negative be returned after being used.

FOR THE COMMANDING OFFICER:

1 Incl
a/s

CLEMONS M. MANNUM
Lt. Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Operations

RECEIVED
E.O. 12358, Sec. 2.2
AND 844508
WALLEN 7/25/52

SIF 300

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECURITY INFORMATION

000058

137470 51-501



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON DC 20330-1000

MAY 9 1990

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Mr. Lee M. Graham
526 West Maple
Monrovia, CA 91016

Dear Mr. Graham:

We are responding to your March 31, and April 6, 1990 Freedom of Information Act letters.

We are attaching an unclassified copy of the attachment. We will not authenticate the document, as no official Government or legal function would be served by doing so.

We interpret your request for "a copy of the record which indicates the date at which time the designation of F-117 was classified" as meaning the record which indicates the date the association of the nickname "Senior Trend" with the F-117 aircraft was classified. That record is exempt from release because it is currently and properly classified in the interest of national security.

The authority for this exemption is in the United States Code, Title 5, Section 552(b)(1), and Air Force Regulation 12-30, paragraph 10a.

We are attaching a copy of the document that indicates the date which the association of SENIOR TREND with the F-117 aircraft was declassified.

The document that indicates the reason why you were shown a copy of the attachment C-1 is exempt from disclosure because it contains advice, opinions, evaluations or recommendations which would reveal the deliberative process of the Air Force.

The authority for this exemption is in the United States Code, Title 5, Section 552(b)(5), and Air Force Regulation 12-30, paragraph 10d.

The denial authority is Mr. Eugene F. Boesch, Jr., Acting Deputy for Security and Investigative Programs, SAF/AAZ.

If you decide to appeal this decision you may write to the Secretary of the Air Force within 60 calendar days from the date of this letter. Include in your letter your reasons for reconsideration and attach a copy of this letter. Mail it to:

Secretary of the Air Force
THRU: SAF/AAIS (FOIA)
Washington, DC 20330-1000

We have previously responded to items 4 and 5.

Item 6 does not seek a specific record; therefore, is not cognizable under the Act.

Sincerely,


BARBARA A. CARMICHAEL
Freedom of Information Manager

2 Atch

1. Memo, 17 Apr 90
2. Attachment 1

90-0445
90-0452



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON DC 20330-1000

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION


17 APR 1990


SUBJECT: Declassification of Association between F-117A and
the SENIOR TREND Nickname

This memorandum constitutes a "letter change" to the SENIOR TREND Security Guide, 1 October 1989. Effective immediately, the association of the nickname "SENIOR TREND" and the F-117A aircraft is declassified. Each holder of the SENIOR TREND Security Guide should post this memorandum to their copy of the Guide. Documents classified solely because of the former classification of this association are now unclassified; and may be handled and stored accordingly. Each document should be reviewed to assure that there is no classified information other than the association.

Documents declassified under the provisions of this change should have their classification marked through, show the initials of the individual reviewing the document; and reference this memorandum as authority for the declassification. On bulky documents, it will only be necessary to re-mark the cover and title page, first two pages, and the back of the document. Post a copy of this memorandum inside the cover of the document.

The Air Force will continue a review of the classification of SENIOR TREND information, and will notify program participants of changes. I enjoin each organization and participant to remember that much information remains classified and to redouble efforts to protect this vital program.


JOHN B. HENNESSEY, Colonel, USAF
Special Projects Security Director
Assistant Secretary
of the Air Force (Acquisition)


JESSE T. MCMAHAN, Colonel, USAF
Deputy Director of Special Programs
Assistant Secretary
of the Air Force (Acquisition)

Declassified per 17 Apr 90 memo

~~SECRET/SENIOR TREND~~
~~SPECIAL ACCESS REQUIRED~~

A T T A C H M E N T 1

1. YOU INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IN FOIA REQUESTS:

- PC* A. (~~S/SAR~~) THE NAME SENIOR TREND IN ASSOCIATION WITH AN AIRCRAFT.
PC B. (~~S/SAR~~) THE NUMBER F-117 IN ASSOCIATION WITH AN AIRCRAFT AND
THE NAME SENIOR TREND.

2. THIS INFORMATION IS CLASSIFIED AND SUBJECT TO A SPECIAL DETERMINATION
OF NEED TO KNOW BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.

CLASSIFIED BY: SENIOR TREND SECURITY GUIDE

DECLASSIFY ON: OADR

~~SECRET/SENIOR TREND~~
~~SPECIAL ACCESS REQUIRED~~

1	
2	
3	W
4	9
5	
6	

Op-031/ab
0001203P30

2 OCT 1952

~~TOP SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~TOP SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

From: Chief of Naval Operations
To: Commander in Chief, Pacific
Commander in Chief, Atlantic
Commander in Chief, U.S. Naval Forces,
Eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean
Commander, Second Fleet (alt. hq, CINCLANT/CINCLANTFLT)
Commander, Military Sea Transportation Service

Subj: Joint Outline Emergency War Plan "MAJESTIC"; forwarding of

Encls: (1) SN-22A-32 (Distribution of MAJESTIC), dated
25 September 1952
(2) Memorandum Receipt Form

1. Enclosure (1), with attached copies of Joint Outline Emergency War Plan "MAJESTIC", is forwarded herewith for appropriate action.
2. Please execute enclosure (2) and return to this office.
3. It is directed that each addressee maintain a chronological record of the physical sighting of all copies of "MAJESTIC" on the last day of each quarter. No report of this sighting will be required by CNO, except that requested. Attention is further invited to paragraph 5 of enclosure (1).
4. Note that paragraph 1 of enclosure (1) authorizes retention or destruction of the ~~unexecuted~~ plan at the discretion of the addressee, if retained they must be sighted as set forth in paragraph 3 above.

Copy to:
Secy JCS
Op-004
Op-036

RELAYED
JCS
Branch
26 May 76


26 MAY 76

AUTHENTICATED

M. W. HORTON
Lieutenant, USN

~~TOP SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION
~~TOP SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

10-X-53



RG 218, Records of the United
States Joint Chiefs of Staff

CCS 381455 (3-2-44) Ser 65

being passed to
ts were extracted
er which they had
long after critics
ediately should
d. These particular
discount critics'
cuments are
pable of being
in fact stored in
ranch computers
from them,
as two weeks after
i.

grasp of the world of national security classification.

This is not to imply, however, that "eyes only" documents are regularly leaked or declassified; they are not. But they do exist. They are not always destroyed. In reality, that destiny is the exception with such documents, not the norm.

James Nelson, pseudonym of a well-regarded Washington D.C. based national security journalist, is privy to the leaked documents cited in this article.

SECRET

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SYSTEM ::
NSC/ICS-40000

March 15, 1985

EYES ONLY

RET
ON

RANDOM FOR ROBERT C. MCFARLANE

OLIVER L. NORTH

CT: Meeting with []

cord with your instructions relayed through VADM Poindexter, with [] on the afternoon of [] to discuss [] ending relationship with the CIA. I told him that it was [] ew that as long as the funding relationship continued, he [] lnerable to exposure by those in the Congress who were [] ant and disapproved of the resistance program. I asked him [] ly whether or not the CIA had queried him regarding this [] He answered as follows:

[] he had met with his case officer with [] on the matter. The case [] possibility []

safes. Furthermore, there are existing documents written during the last couple of years that were given an "Eyes Only" classification. Some of these documents were recently leaked to several Washington, D.C.-based national security journalists, but before being passed to them, the documents were extracted from computers over which they had been transmitted—long after critics maintain they immediately should have been destroyed. These particular documents clearly discount critics' claims that such documents are neither filed nor capable of being copied. They were in fact stored in certain Executive branch computers and were retrieved from them, sometimes as long as two weeks after they were produced.

a secret piece of information, is best left to the celluloid fiction of Hollywood or to the narrative fiction of bestselling spy novels. It is simply not a matter of fact, and displays a very naive and unknowledgeable grasp of the world of national security classification.

This is not to imply, however, that "eyes only" documents are regularly leaked or declassified; they are not. But they do exist. They are not always destroyed. In reality, that destiny is the exception with such documents, not the norm.

James Neilson, pseudonym of a well-regarded Washington D.C. based national security journalist, is privy to the leaked documents cited in this article.

MEMORANDUM

SECRET

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

March 15, 1985

SECRET

ACTION

EYES ONLY

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT C. MCFARLANE

FROM:

OLIVER L. NORTH

SUBJECT:

Meeting with []

In accord with your instructions relayed through VADM Poindexter, I met with [] on the afternoon of [] to discuss his funding relationship with the CIA. I told him that it was our view that as long as the funding relationship continued, he was vulnerable to exposure by those in the Congress who were cognizant and disapproved of the resistance program. I asked him directly whether or not the CIA had queried him regarding this matter. He answered as follows:

-- [] he had met with his case officer who had called him on the matter. The case officer noted that exposure was a possibility whether or not the funding was on-going and that it was likely to occur even if the funding relationships with the CIA were terminated.

-- He had been told several months ago when the relationship was established that funding would be provided through a "foundation" which was completely safe.

-- At the time the relationship was established, he was also advised that no one else [] would be made aware of the relationship.

[] indicated that he believed he had been deceived and that he would not []

REQUEST FOR PERSONNEL SECURITY INVESTIGATION		/0143	1 REQUESTS FILE NO	4 DATE OF REQUEST
1 FROM: DISCO			5. THIS REQUEST IS FOR:	
2 TO: Personnel Investigations Control Center Defense Investigative Service P. O. Box 454 Baltimore, Maryland 21203			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LIMITED INQUIRY <input type="checkbox"/> BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION (BI) <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION (SBI) <input type="checkbox"/> DEBRIEFING <input type="checkbox"/> SRI BRING-UP <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify in Remarks)	
3 RETURN RESULTS TO: DISCO, P.O. BOX 2499 COLUMBUS, OHIO 43216-5006 ATTN: S0836 J. WOLF			6 REASON FOR INVESTIGATION:	
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACCESS TO MATERIAL CLASSIFIED (Confidential) (Secret) (Top Secret) <input type="checkbox"/> NUCLEAR WEAPON POSITION <input type="checkbox"/> IMMIGRANT ALIEN CLEARANCE REQUIREMENT <input type="checkbox"/> SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> SIOP-ESI <input type="checkbox"/> PRESIDENTIAL SUPPORT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Continue in Remarks)	
DO NOT COMPLETE - BLOCKS CIRCUMSCRIBED BY HEAVY BLACK LINE ARE FOR DIS INTERNAL USE ONLY				
CCN (Precedence Number) (1-15) 89311 DK1 3408 1W9 (16-22) DSB				
8. NAME (Last-First-Middle) (Last Name only in Capital)				
GRAHAM, LEE MARVIN				
9. OTHER NAMES USED OR KNOWN BY (Continue in Remarks)				
DELULOW, LEMOYNE MARVIN				
10 DATE OF BIRTH (Y-M-D)	11 SSN	12. FORMER SERVICE NO	13 SEX	
34/11/28	551-44-0616	UNK	M	
14. PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State)	15. SERVICE	16. RANK/RATE/GRADE		
LOS ANGELES, CA	UNK	UNK		
17 LOCAL FILES:				
<input type="checkbox"/> PERSONNEL. <input type="checkbox"/> BASE/MILITARY POLICE. <input type="checkbox"/> MEDICAL. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SECURITY. WERE REVIEWED ON 10-27-81 AND REVEALED: <input type="checkbox"/> NO UNFAVORABLE INFORMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNFAVORABLE INFORMATION SUMMARIZED IN "REMARKS" BELOW				
18 HISTORY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYMENT, CURRENT MILITARY SERVICE.				
INDICATE IN ATTACHED PERSONAL HISTORY FORM IS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CORRECT <input type="checkbox"/> PARTIALLY CORRECT <input type="checkbox"/> COULD NOT BE VERIFIED (If other than correct is checked, explain in remarks.)				
19. PRIOR INVESTIGATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> UNKNOWN (If Yes, reflect type, when made, by whom, and file No.) NACEX/17APR86/BY DIS NOT AVAILABLE IN DISCO				
20 REMARKS: (Use Continuation Sheets as Required) ALERT/EXPAND AS APPROPRIATE CURRENT NAC REQUIRED; YES NCO LVL CLNC/DATE; SECRET/07SEP76 OTHER; REF ATTACHED DIS HODS LTR. DESIRE ADVANCE NOTIFICATION OF NAC RESULTS: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO				
21 ENCLOSURE(S) 398-2 DIS LTR		22. AUTHORIZED BY: (Signature) THOMAS J. RAINSFORD DIRECTOR (Type Name, Title, and Telephone Number)		
dm		DIS CLOSING STAMP <input type="checkbox"/> Attached documents forwarded for security determination. <input type="checkbox"/> SI <input type="checkbox"/> IDI <input type="checkbox"/> SBI <input type="checkbox"/> PR <input type="checkbox"/> MAC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STJ 19 APR 90 WILLIAM A. HUGHES Director REFER TO THIS CASE BY "NAME-SSN-CCN"		

DD FORM 1879

REPLACES DD FORM 1870 (TEST) 1 SEP 72, WHICH IS OBSOLETE.

FOR OFFICIAL USE

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

INCIDENT/COMPLAINT REPORT

(Continue to "REMARKS" on reverse, if necessary)

DATE

INCIDENT/COMPLAINT NO.

INVESTIGATION REPORT NO.

17 NOV 77

REPORT OF ACTION REQUIRED (See reverse)

TO: COMMANDER

44 Missile Security Squadron
ELLSWORTH AFB, SD 57706

4. ORGANIZATION (Include location and phone no.)

5. ORGANIZATION (Include location and phone no.)

A. COLOR EYES	B. COLOR HAIR	C. COMPLEXION	D. SEX	E. DOB	F. WEIGHT	G. HEIGHT	H. IDENTIFYING MARKS (Tattoo, scar, etc.)
---------------	---------------	---------------	--------	--------	-----------	-----------	---

6. HOW DRESSED (Military or civilian, and condition of clothing)

7. UNDER INFLUENCE OF:

ALCOHOL

(Explain in item 10)

OTHER

8. INCIDENT/COMPLAINT (Specify type and location)

HELPING HAND (SECURITY VIOLATION)/COVERED WAGON (SECURITY VIOLATION)

Site Lima 9 (68th SMSq Area)

7 Miles SW of Nisland, SD

HOUR
2100

DATE
16 Nov 77

9. RECEIVED BY (Typed or printed name, grade, and position)

PAUL D. HINZMAN, SSgt, USAF

Comm/Plotter, Wing Security Control

IN PERSON

BY TELEPHONE

BY MAIL

10. DETAILS OF INCIDENT (Who, what, when, where, how? Include attitude at time of apprehension and give details if uncooperative. Attach statements of medical personnel.) At 2059hrs., 16 Nov 77, AIC PHILLIPS, Samuel A., Lima Security Control, telephoned WSC and reported an OZ alarm activation at L-9 and that Lima SAT #1, AIC JENKINS & AIC RAEKE were dispatched. (Trip #62, ETA 2135hrs.) At 2147hrs., AIC PHILLIPS telephoned WSC and reported that the situation at L-9 had been upgraded to a COVERED WAGON per request of CAPT STOKES, Larry D., FSO. Security Option II was initiated by WSC and Base CSC. BAF(Backup Security CONTD

11. EVALUATION ☒ UNFOUNDED ☐ MISDEMEANOR ☐ FELONY ☐ MILITARY OFFENSE ☐ TRAFFIC

12. PERSONS RELATED TO REPORT (Insert category of relationship letter opposite name)

A. COMPLAINANT B. VICTIM C. SUSPECT D. WITNESS E. NP/SP/AP F. INVESTIGATED BY G. APPREHENDED BY

	NAME	GRADE	SSN	ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.
E	JENKINS, Kenneth C.	AIC	571-13-9597	44 MSS (68-3)
E	RAEKE, Wayne E.	AIC	305-68-7556	44 MSS (68-3)
F	STEWART, Robert E.	TSgt	211-28-7556	44 MSS (68-3)
F	STOKES, Larry D.	Capt	329-40-9406	44 MSS (88-3)

13. DISPOSITION OF INCIDENT/COMPLAINT

A. REFERRED TO AFOSI

C. EVIDENCE (List and describe, or summarize as appropriate)

All evidence retained by AFOSI and FBI

B. PENDING

C. SEE REPORT NUMBER AT TOP OF PAGE

D. OTHER AGENCY (Specify)

E. NONE

F. OPENED

14. ENCLOSURES (Statements and receipts)

15. DISTRIBUTION

16. SIGNATURE (Strike out if inapplicable)

2 - 44SMW, ICC
1 - SAC LFIC
1 - ISAF
1 - HAF

RICHARD F. LYON, Colonel, USAF
Chief, Security Police EAFB

SIGNATURE

SIGNED

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

It was learned from Major Doty, that Col. Baynes, C. O. at HAFB, no longer felt there was any justification for the allocation of funds for maintaining systematic investigation. Rather, he provided that the project be maintained on a standby basis and without official Air Force status. This entails assignment of an officer (Major Doty) to collect incoming reports, make periodic review of the files "for patterns or persistent characteristics in the reports", maintain liaison with OSI, Provost Marshall's Office and any other agencies whose activities may serve to provide information concerning future aerial phenomena developments. Land-Air has agreed to report and if possible photograph any abnormal sightings made during their scheduled periods of operation (about eight hours each day). The weather station will function similarly. Also, all pilots have been briefed to report any unusual observations. If necessary, the project can be activated very quickly, even to the extent where funds will be made available, for the purchase of equipment.

Major Doty also arranged a conference with Mr. Warren Kott, who is in charge of Land-Air operations. Mr. Kott pointed out that a formal report covering the year's vigilance period had not been issued since the contract contained no such provision. Actually, a time correlation study should be made covering the film and verbal recordings at both Askania stations. This would assure that these records did not contain significant material. However, such a study is quite laborious, and would require about thirty man days to complete. Again, no provisions are contained in the contract for this study, but Mr. Kott felt that

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVAL
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.
DOD DIR 5200.10

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
UNCLASSIFIED

~~TOP SECRET~~

Copy #1 of 2 of Series "B".

1 July 1949

the U.S.S.R. Atomic Energy Project

Energy Intelligence Committee makes the following
of the U.S.S.R. Atomic Energy Project:

1 January 1949 report it was stated that the earliest
which the U.S.S.R. might be expected to produce an
mid-1950 and the most probable date was mid-1953.
now available substantiates these dates in general,
ormation indicating one method which the Soviets are
as that their first atomic bomb cannot be completed

on evidence that the Soviet effort is directed toward
onium bomb, on current estimates of the amount of
, and on the assumption that their plants will oper-
efficiency, the maximum number of atomic bombs the
backpile after a mid-1953 initial date is 60 by mid-1955

~~TOP SECRET~~

Copy #1 of 2 of Series "B".

1 July 1949

Status of the U.S.S.R. Atomic Energy Project

The Joint Nuclear Energy Intelligence Committee makes the following estimate of the status of the U.S.S.R. Atomic Energy Project:

(1) In the 1 January 1949 report it was stated that the earliest possible date by which the U.S.S.R. might be expected to produce an atomic bomb was mid-1950 and the most probable date was mid-1953. The information now available substantiates these dates in general, although new information indicating one method which the Soviets are following suggests that their first atomic bomb cannot be completed before mid-1951.

(2) Based on evidence that the Soviet effort is directed toward producing a plutonium bomb, on current estimates of the amount of uranium available, and on the assumption that their plants will operate at reasonable efficiency, the maximum number of atomic bombs the Soviets could stockpile after a mid-1953 initial date is 60 by mid-1955 and 150 by mid-1957, by which time it is estimated that currently known uranium sources would be exhausted. The maximum number of atomic bombs the Soviets could stockpile after a mid-1950 initial date is 130 by mid-1955 and 150 by mid-1957.

It must be emphasized that estimates of bomb stockpiles depend very strongly on the amount of uranium ore available to the U.S.S.R. If the current ore estimates are revised, the bomb stockpile will be changed correspondingly. It is anticipated that various low-grade sources of uranium may be developed within the U.S.S.R., but it is impossible to estimate whether or on what scale such a development program will be carried out.

CONFIDENTIAL



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DHP. SEC.

*MOVABLE
TYPE
STAMPS*

6 December 1950

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Attention: Colonel Kreps

SUBJECT: Air Alert - 1030 Hours, 6 December 1950

1. The ConAC Air Defense Controller notified the Headquarters USAF Command Post that at 1030 hours a number of unidentified aircraft were approaching the northeast area of the United States and that there was no reason to believe the aircraft were friendly.

2. This information was further amplified at 1040 hours as follows. By radar contact it was determined that approximately 40 aircraft were in the flight, at 32,000 feet, on a course of 200 degrees, in the vicinity of Limestone, Maine.

3. The emergency alert procedure went into effect immediately.

4. The Office of the President was notified. Brigadier General Landry returned the call and stated that the President had been notified and that:

a. All information in this matter was to be released by the Department of the Air Force.

b. Office of the President would release no information.

c. The substance of a and b above was to be passed to the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

5. At 1104 hours the ConAC Air Defense Controller stated that the original track had faded out and it appears that the flight as originally identified is a friendly flight.

6. ConAC took immediate action to dispatch interceptors on the initial contact.

Charles B. Winkle
CHARLES B. WINKLE

Colonel, USAF

Asst Executive, Directorate
of Plans

DECLASSIFIED

By *W. W.* *7/1/67*
NARS, Date *7/1/67*

CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

VIA AIR MAIL

22 JUL 1952

REF ID: A360.2/14

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Object

TO: Director of Intelligence
Headquarters, USAF
Attn: 2 Lt (Mrs. Barber)
Washington 25, D. C.

1. In accordance with telephone conversation request from Mrs. Barber on 18 July 1952, inclosed is a confidential negative of an unidentified flying object taken at 1930 hours 24 Feb 50 from Datil, New Mexico. A print from this negative was used as inclosure "A" to Report No. EMO-41 dated 25 July 1951 from HAFB and as an inclosure to a letter "Summary of Observations of Aerial Phenomena in the New Mexico Area, December 1, 48 - May 1950" dated 25 May 1950 from office of the Inspector General USAF, 17th District Office of Special Investigations, Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico to Brigadier General Joseph F. Carroll, Director of Special Investigations, Headquarters, USAF, Washington 25, D. C.

2. It is requested that this negative be returned after being used.

FOR THE COMMANDING OFFICER:

1 Incl
a/s

CLETON M. MANGUM
Lt. Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Operations

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 12958, Sec. 2.3

NND 841508

WALSH, Date 7/25/85

SI 4700

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

SECURITY INFORMATION

0000058

NOT HTD 53-5012
Incl

Declassified per 17 Apr 90 memo

~~SECRET/SENIOR TREND~~
~~SPECIAL ACCESS REQUIRED~~

A T T A C H M E N T 1

1. YOU INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IN FOIA REQUESTS:

- PC* A. ~~(S/SAR)~~ THE NAME SENIOR TREND IN ASSOCIATION WITH AN AIRCRAFT.
PC B. ~~(S/SAR)~~ THE NUMBER F-117 IN ASSOCIATION WITH AN AIRCRAFT AND
THE NAME SENIOR TREND.

2. THIS INFORMATION IS CLASSIFIED AND SUBJECT TO A SPECIAL DETERMINATION
OF NEED TO KNOW BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.

CLASSIFIED BY: SENIOR TREND SECURITY GUIDE

DECLASSIFY ON: OADR

~~SECRET/SENIOR TREND~~
~~SPECIAL ACCESS REQUIRED~~

~~RESTRICTED~~

Restricted Classification
Excluded Per
Executive Order 10501

HEADQUARTERS
CAMP HOLABIRD
BALTIMORE 19, MARYLAND

AWB/nm

AIDL P10

SUBJECT: Spot Report - Flying Saucers

5 OCT 1949

TO : Commanding General
Second Army
Fort George G. Meade, Maryland
ATTN: AC of S, G-2

Time and Date: 1517 to 1519, 30 September 1949

Details: At the above time and specified date Corporal G. Floyd of the Military Police, Camp Holabird, was on duty at the main gate when he observed two large objects flying through the air moving over the Fisher Body Plant in a South-Southwesterly direction from the main gate of Camp Holabird. These two objects had the mass of a DC-3 but the shape could not be exactly described, but a rough guess on the part of Corporal Floyd would be that of a silver dollar. Corporal Floyd called these objects to the attention of Corporal William A. Garvey, also of the Military Police, and also to the attention of Warrant Officer Mervin L. Walsh. Both Corporal Floyd and Corporal Garvey give the same story concerning the objects.

Follow-up Action: The Baltimore City Airport is being checked to determine if any such objects were observed or released from that area.

Conclusion: At approximately 1720 on 30 September 1949 the operations officer of the Baltimore Airport was contacted in person in reference to this above story and he in turn gave the following explanation. At approximately the time listed above a local manufacturer was testing a newly designed helicopter. This helicopter is composed of the rotor propeller and a single transparent glass bulb located directly under the middle of the blades. Observed from some distance the bulb gondola is not noticeable and it is quite possible that the observer would notice the propeller only. This was the only explanation the airport could give of this phenomenon and is believed to be the proper solution since the above observers stated that the object was seen in the general direction of the Baltimore Airport. No further action contemplated on this report.

FOR THE COMMANDING GENERAL:

George C. Sheldon
GEORGE C. SHELDON
Major CMC
Post Intelligence Officer

~~RESTRICTED~~

33

1029428 43.2.1

5-66449 (23-71248)

5449

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CSCID 452.1

SUBJECT: Reporting of Information on "Flying Discs"

COMMENT NO. 2

TO: USAF-AFOIR-CO

FROM: CSGID, Plans &

1 November 1948

Collection Branch Maj. Goudreau/3715/ld

1. Pursuant to telephonic conversation between Lt. Col. Garrett, United States Air Force, and Lt. Col. Smith, of this Division, only Army commands of the Zone of Interior are being alerted.

2. Inclosed herewith for your information are five (5) copies of Intelligence Division, GSUSA, instructions dispatched to the Zone of the Interior Armies.

FOR THE CHIEF, INTELLIGENCE GROUP:

45062

1 Incl:

5 copies ID

Instructions

5 copies w/ original only
1 copy sent to R/S 29 Oct 48

C. V. BROWLEY

Colonel, GSC

Chief, Plans & Collection Branch

MEMO FOR RECORD: Comment No. 1 from USAF, AFOIR-CO, requested that ID dispatch re-energizing letters to all addressees of reference letter (request for information on "Flying Discs"). Upon investigation it was revealed that all of the recipients of reference request (except Z/I Armies) had been instructed to transfer action to respective air attaches as of 1 September 1948. It was therefore decided that re-issuing of requests to these addressees would result in duplication of Army and Air Force efforts, and that re-energizing letters were necessary only to Zone of Interior Armies. *ADT*

CONCURRENCE: USAF (Lt. Col. Garrett, ext. 4544) *ADT*

MAILED 10

RECORD SECTION COPY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

579 28 Nov 48
452.1
14 Oct 48
(25 Mar 48)

COVER SHEET

RECORD OF BASIC CORRESPONDENCE OR REQUEST

AFOIN-P/PL

DATE

TYPE

CLASSIFICATION

CONFIDENTIAL

SUMMARY

(Unclassified) RAD Requirements Fiscal Year 1952

SUSPENSE

DATE

ASGD BY

NO.

DATE REC'D O/I

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

TO:

AFOIN-V ATTN: Colonel Adams

DATE

13 Nov 51

FROM:

AFOIN-P/PL

NO.

1

REMARKS 1. Reference is made to attached RAR, Comment No. 1 from Directorate of Requirements, above subject, dated 7 November 1951, with enclosed Tab "D" consisting of two RAD projects recommended by AFOIN-V.

2. As agreed, the "Flying Objects" project should be financed out of the D/I contingency fund as opposed to RAD Funds. The "Unified Field Theory" project has been cancelled outright.

3. It is requested that AFOIN-V, in collaboration with ATIC, write up the "Flying Objects" project in sufficient detail to guide the research agency ultimately selected to accomplish the work. AFOIN-T (Mr. Mayer - Ext 75957) and the ATIC have had considerable experience in matters of this kind and should be of help to you in writing up the project and recommending a research agency. Once the project has been reduced to writing, a competent research activity has been selected, and the cost of the research has been determined, request the matter be referred to the AFOIN RAD Committee for approval and funding arrangements with the D/I Budget and Fiscal officer.

Edwin S. Leland

EDWIN S. LELAND

Colonel, USAF

(Use reverse for additional)

IN	OFFICE	OUT	IN	OFFICE	OUT
	AFOIN-P	<i>SS</i>		AFOIN-A	Chief, Planning Branch
	P/PL			AFOIN-V	Policy Division
	P/MIC			V/AE	Directorate of Intelligence
	P/FL			V/AF	ASST EXEC
	AFOIN-C			V/TC	
	C/CC			AFOIN-T	ASST EXEC
	C/DD			T/TP	
	C/AA			T/TR	
	C/SR			T/AO	
	C/RC			T/AV	EXECUTIVE
	C/EE			T/PV	
	ADMIN			AFOIN-E	
	MR			E/EA	
	BSF			E/PE	
	CABLE			E/CE	DIR/INT
	PERS			E/WE	
				E/CI	

ACTION ASGD TO ☒ Action ☒ Coordination

SIGNED ☐ DATE ☐ DISPATCH ☐ FILE ☐ DATE

AFHQ

FORM 15 JUL 51

0-86

PREVIOUS EDITIONS OF

SECURITY INFORMATION

3675

CONFIDENTIAL

Review + Dissem.
TC-5447476 (36)

HEADQUARTERS FIRST ARMY
GOVERNORS ISLAND, NEW YORK 4, NEW YORK
Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, Intelligence

AHFKB-OD 350.05

JAN 26 1956

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Intelligence Information (U)

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff, ~~G-2~~, Intelligence
Department of the Army
Washington 25, D. C.

Inclosed intelligence information is transmitted

() in compliance with Annex E, DAIP 1-53

() in compliance with Secret letter G2-COCI, Department of the Army,
10 March 1955, Subject: "Collection of Foreign Publications" (U).

(X) For information and action deemed appropriate.

FOR THE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF, G-2:

1 Incl
SOI, subject:
Unidentified Flying Object

Leonard H. Smith, Jr.
LEONARD H. SMITH, JR.
Lt Colonel GS
Chief, O&T Division, G-2

RECORD SECTION COPY
Personnel Security Branch

Regraded UNCLASSIFIED when separated
from Classified inclosure.

151 G-2

452.1

26 Jan 56 (10 Jan 56)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

TALLY NO.	
FILE NO.	

SUBJECT: (Uncl) R&D Requirements Fiscal Year 1953

TO: Policy Division, Directorate of Intelligence, DCS/O
ATTN: Col. Leland


DATE 7 Nov 1951

COMMENT NO. 1

FROM: Director of Requirements, DCS/D

Col Saunders/bow/78260
AFDRQ-SP

Attached Tab D of your R&R dated 19 October, same subject, directed to this office is returned. This is in confirmation of the telephone conversation between the undersigned and Col. Leland this date. No action will be taken on the inclosed projects.

1 Incl
Tab D


JACK W. SAUNDERS
Colonel, USAF
Chief, Special Projects
Division

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, Sec. 2.3
84508
By WAL, NARS, Date 7/30/85

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
GUIDE TO INVESTIGATION
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECTS

100-7545

FBI

Incident No. _____

1. Date of Observation 10 Aug 49 Date of Interview 11 Aug 49
2. Exact time of observation (local) (#1-2100)-(#2-2120)-(#3-2215)-(#4-2250)
3. Place of Observation: Crossville Mt. - 913.3-858.6
(Map Coordinates)
4. Position of observer (air, car, bldg, location of - give details):
Standing at field force observation post.
5. What attracted attention to object: -
Moving light in a clear sky.
6. Number of objects and sketch of formation or grouping:
One at each time indicated in # 2.
7. Apparent size (compare to known object, i.e., sun, moon, thumb or fist at arms length):
Large star.
8. Color of object:
Predominately white followed by orange trail.
9. Shape (give graphic description - compare with known object);
Generally round with trail.
10. Altitude (Angle of elevation above horizon - 0 degrees at horizon, 90 degrees overhead):
40° to 70°
11. Direction from observer (Angle clockwise from North):
(#1-N.E.-40°) (#2-Due North) (#3-N.E. 330°) (#4-N-05°)
12. Distance from observer (Distance to town, bldg, etc. ^{over} which object appeared to be):
Great distance - unestimable.
13. Direction of flight of object (s):
1,3,4 & Almost horizontal with slight increase in altitude, # 2 -
- Ascended almost vertical.
14. Time of sight:
A second or less.
15. Speed (time to cover given angular distance):
Great speed - unestimable.

REGRADED UNCLASSIFIED
ON 8 28 1982
BY DEP CDR U JAINSCOM FOIPO
Auth Para 1-603 uoD 5200. 1-R

Incl

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

FBI - SAN ANTONIO
AUG 16 1949

65-57500-70

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

HEADQUARTERS FIFTH ARMY
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION
OFFICE OF AC of S, G-2

6 October 1960

(Date)

Chicago 15, Illinois

(Place)

SUBJECT: Purchase Offer of Flying Saucer Photographs

Summary of Information:

1. The Fifth Army Regional Office in Denver, Colorado, reported the following information: On 30 September the Regional Office received a call from CID, Denver, reporting that an Melwyn Hotel, Denver, said that when he was at the Edelweiss Bar a man named offered him \$1500 for photographs he had taken of a flying saucer. said he photographed this saucer which had crashed near Aztec, New Mexico. said that "Army officials" had attempted to take the photographs of the crashed saucer away from him but that he had given them another roll of film. He said that in some way had found out about the photographs and offered him \$1500 for the photographs.

2. On 2 October was interviewed at the Fifth Army Regional Office in Denver. He then denied any knowledge of the flying saucer episode. The Regional Office commented that in spite of his denials his manner indicated that he had some knowledge of the incident or may have taken pictures of it. His appearance did not give the impression of one who was either mentally unbalanced or was seeking attention. He stuck persistently to his story of being drunk when he made the call to CID.

3. said that was from "The Baltimore Sun."

Previous Distribution:

Distribution:
AC of S, G-2, Wash
FBI, Chgo

Evaluation	
-of source	-of information
<u> x </u>	Reliable <u> </u>
<u> </u>	Credible <u> </u>
<u> </u>	Questionable <u> </u>
<u> </u>	Undetermined <u> </u>
<u> </u>	Unknown <u> </u> <u> x </u>

SI PCRM
200 1947 62

OSI

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

007 G-2

452.1

6 Oct 50

(25 Mar 48)

Memo - Some of
these were
clipped for use
in MT-12 Book
MASTER Mys. | *WNT*

OTHER CYS IN FILE -

1	
2	
3	W
4	9
5	

2 OCT 1952

TOP SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

From: Chief of Naval Operations
To: Commander in Chief, Pacific
Commander in Chief, Atlantic
Commander in Chief, U.S. Naval Forces,
Eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean
Commander, Second Fleet (alt. by CINCLANT/CINCLANTFLT)
Commander, Military Sea Transportation Service

Subj: Joint Outline Emergency War Plan "MAJESTIC"; forwarding of

Encls: (1) SN-2M2-52 (Distribution of MAJESTIC), dated
23 September 1952
(2) Memorandum Receipt Form

1. Enclosure (1), with attached copies of Joint Outline Emergency War Plan "MAJESTIC", is forwarded herewith for appropriate action.
2. Please execute enclosure (2) and return to this office.
3. It is directed that each addressee maintain a chronological record of the physical sighting of all copies of "MAJESTIC" on the last day of each quarter. No report of this sighting will be required by CNO, except when requested. Attention is further invited to paragraph 5 of enclosure (1).
4. Note that paragraph 1 of enclosure (1) authorizes retention or destruction of the unexecuted plan at the discretion of the addressee, if retained they must be sighted as set forth in paragraph 3 above.

Copy to:
Secy JCS
Op-004
Op-03C

RELIEVED
JCS
Bank
26 May 76

26 May 76


AUTHENTICATED

M. W. HORTON
Lieutenant, USN

J-14

TOP SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION
TOP SECRET
SECURITY INFORMATION

10-7-52



Requirements Br
AF475040

FORM 112---PART I
Approved 1 June 1948

[Redacted Box]
(Classification)

Country United States	Report No. 1	(Leave Blank)
--------------------------	-----------------	---------------

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

Subject Unidentified Flying Objects Reporting (FLYORPT)		
Area Reported On Section 19, Township of Columbus, Columbus, Wis.		From (Agency) 126 Ftr-Intop Sq, Truax Fld
Date of Report 26 June 1952	Date of Information 18 June 1952	Evaluation
Prepared by (Officer) OMAR M. OLSEN, 1st Lt, USAF		Source Mr. [Redacted], Columbus, Wis.
References (Control number, directive, previous report, etc., as applicable) MSG 443, dated 26 June 1952, 126th Fighter-Intop Sqdn, Truax Fld, Madison, Wis.		
Summary: (Enter concise summary of report. Give significance in final one-sentence paragraph. List inclosures at lower left. Begin text of report on AF Form 112---Part II.)		

On 18 June 1952, at approximately 9 A.M., a crescent shaped object, resembling a new moon in size and shape, unlike any known conventional aircraft, was seen to remain motionless for several seconds and then move up and North, vanishing almost instantaneously. Observer reports seeing two jet type aircraft in the same vicinity, but reports that object was at a lower altitude, also that it did not leave a vapor trail.

(58)

3K
i (initial)

Requirements Br

HEADQUARTERS FIFTH ARMY
1660 EAST HYDE PARK BOULEVARD
CHICAGO 15, ILLINOIS

ALFGB-I

6 October 1950

SUBJECT: Purchase Offer of Flying Saucer Photographs

TO : The Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2
GSUSA
Washington 25, D. C.

The inclosed Summary of Information is forwarded for your information.

1 Incl
S/I re SUBJECT
dtd 6 Oct 50

Alexander T. McCone
ALEXANDER T. McCONE
Colonel, GSC
Acting AC of S, G-2

J-13

PERMANENT RECORDS COPY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

93) G-2 4521

6 Oct 50

(25 Mar 48)

10,373

SECRET

Doc. 15a

5 August 1968

MEMORANDUM FOR: |

SUBJECT : Agency-Academic Relations

This is an attempt to make some observations and suggestions about Agency-academic relations. In doing so I am grateful for the stimulus furnished by your outline. Although I believe I have addressed myself to most of the questions you have raised I have done so in free form rather than by a point by point consideration. I have also used "head notes" for purposes of organization and in an attempt to highlight the crucial questions in the subject.

Justifying an Agency-Academic Relationship: Let me stress at the outset that I believe Agency-academic relations are for the most part very good. Though I have no quantitative data to support such a conclusion my guess is that 99% of the members of the academy would be willing to assist the Agency if properly and skillfully approached, and that only a small fraction of that other 1% would be angered by an invitation to assist or would attempt to embarrass the Agency in any way.


However, on occasion when a university or an individual has acknowledged any contact with the Agency there has been some outcry by a few vocal members of the academic community.

In a later part of this paper I suggest "an affirmative program" designed to improve the Agency's reputation in academic circles and thus decrease the risks (costs) of association with the Agency. However, until either the passage of time or an image bolstering plan changes the cliches of the moment an educational institution or individual electing to assist the Agency may be on the defensive.

In my view the best way to defend association with the Agency when such a defense is necessary is:

1. By relating work for the Agency to one of the traditional functions of a university; and

J-12



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

aerial phenomena in the vicinity of Holloman since September 1950. The populace around HAFB seem to have lost their sensitivity as observers. Even during the meteor shower of 11-12 August 1951, no alarming reports were received. However, on 14 March 1951, nine Bell personnel reported sighting between fourteen and twenty bodies "not unlike a flock of geese". On 9 July a "red glowing ball" was sighted by a sergeant stationed at the Corona Experimental Radar Site at Corona, New Mexico. (Copies of both reports are attached). More recently, a pilot reported some aerial objects which, after investigation, were identified as planets.

Mr. B. Guildenberg, who is an assistant to Major Doty and an active amateur astronomer, commented that he has been spending several hours at his telescope almost every night for the past few years and never once observed an unexplainable object; that on one occasion, an excited acquaintance was pacified when a "strange object" showed up as an eagle in the telescope; that Clyde Tombaugh, discoverer of the planet Pluto and now engaged in activities at White Sands, never observed an unexplainable aerial object despite his continuous and extensive observations of the sky; that Fred Whipple in his work photographing meteors at Las Cruces, never detected a strange aerial object with his Schmidt cameras; and that the A and M College at Las Cruces engages in astronomical observations but had never observed strange aerial phenomena.

(J-11)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVAL
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS.
DOD DIR 6200.10

~~SECRET~~
~~SECRET~~

ed Flying Object", (cont)

at-finder before being observed on the CP8-5
his follows logic and field experience, inas-
coverage of the CP8-5 is known to be poor and
of being automatically tilted as in the case
controller may tilt the antenna within wide
high altitude or high angle objects. It is
field experience with a CP8-5 surveillance
at targets picked up at ranges and altitudes
t would probably have a reflection aspect ratio
of a B-29 or greater.

ence of detailed vertical and horizontal cover-
is radar sites and comprehensive weather reports
eriod of time these observations were noted, a
-luation at this time is not feasible.

, no known electronic phenomena, nor combinations
omona could conceivably produce all of the ob-
attached reports."

~~SECRET~~

INT 373.5 (E), "Unidentified Flying Object", (cont)

observed on the CP8-4 height-finder before being observed on the CP8-5 surveillance radar set. This follows logic and field experience, inasmuch as the high altitude coverage of the CP8-5 is known to be poor and the antenna is not capable of being automatically tilted as in the case of the CP8-4 on which the controller may tilt the antenna within wide limitations to observe any high altitude or high angle objects. It is to be noted that previous field experience with a CP8-5 surveillance radar set has indicated that targets picked up at ranges and altitudes indicated in subject report would probably have a reflection aspect ratio in the order of magnitude of a 3-29 or greater.

d. "In the absence of detailed vertical and horizontal coverage charts for the specific radar sites and comprehensive weather reports for the area during the period of time these observations were noted, a more complete study or evaluation at this time is not feasible.

e. "In summary, no known electronic phenomena, nor combinations of several electronic phenomena could conceivably produce all of the observations covered by the attached reports."

4. The frequency of reports of this nature has recently increased; instructions have therefore been directed to all radar installations within this command to report scope sightings of unusual objects.

5. It is recommended that reports of unidentified object sightings be reconsidered for submission from all Zone of Interior Air Force agencies.

FOR THE COMMANDING GENERAL:

NEAL J. O'BRIEN
Colonel, USAF
Air Adjutant General

2 Incls

1. Report of 1st Lt. F.E. Parker
2. Report of 1st Lt. P.K. Mattson

J-10

INT 373.5 (E)
SUBJECT: UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT
TO DIR. of INTC, USAF
9 APR 1950
from NO'Brien en.

(J-9)

CONFIDENTIAL

OSI
ONI

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT

LOS ANGELES

FILE NO. 100-33321

REPORT MADE AT LOS ANGELES	DATE WHEN MADE 12/9/50	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE 10/16-18; 11/7-10, 13, 15, 16/50	REPORT MADE BY [REDACTED] DAK
TITLE FRANK SCULLY (b)(7)(C):(D)		CHARACTER OF CASE SECURITY MATTER - C	
<p>SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:</p> <p>FRANK SCULLY resides at 2071 Grace Avenue, Los Angeles. Author of book entitled "Behind the Flying Saucers" and also noted as author of book "Fun in Bed." JOHN L. LEECH, former Communist Party organizer, Los Angeles, in testimony before Los Angeles County Grand Jury August, 1940, identified FRANK SCULLY as member of the Communist Party during late 1930's.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED] and sponsor Civil Rights Congress, Los Angeles, 1947. Subject reported a member of Hollywood Chapter, Arts, Sciences, and Professions Council in 1948; [REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED] Subject reported active in the following organizations: [REDACTED] Hollywood Writers Mobilization, Hollywood Motion Picture Democratic Committee, Hollywood Anti-Nazi League, Workers Alliance of America, [REDACTED] and Civil Rights Congress. No evidence of current membership or activities in Communist Party. [REDACTED]</p> <p>- C -</p>			
APPROVED AND FORWARDED: [Signature]		SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	
COPIES OF THIS REPORT 5 - Bureau (100-2244) 3 - Los Angeles 52 JAN 5 1951 [REDACTED] Mossburg		DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES [REDACTED]	

CLASSIFIED BY: [Signature]
DECLASSIFY ON: OADR 9-22-89

1 cc. OSI
1 cc. CHL
1 cc. [REDACTED]
1-2-51
[REDACTED]

COPIES DESTROYED 3/10/58 #4

CONFIDENTIAL

HEADQUARTERS, ARMY AIR FORCES
WASHINGTON

IN HOLY ROUT TO AFBA-02

From AF

SEP 1947

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Department of Justice
Washington 25, D. C.

(J-7)

Dear Sir:

Attention: Liaison Section.

In answer to a verbal request of your Mr.
S. W. Reynolds, a complete survey of research activities
discloses that the Army Air Forces has no project with
the characteristics similar to those which have been
associated with the Flying Discs.

Yours sincerely,

GEO. F. SCHULZ

GEO. F. SCHULZ
Brigadier General, U.S.A.
Deputy, Ass't. Chief of Air Staff-2

REGRADED UNCLASSIFIED
ON SEP 27 1977
BY CDR USAINTA FOIC
AUTH Para 1-603 DOD5200

RECORDED

UNCLASSIFIED

01 01

RR

UUUU

112148Z APR 78

HQ NORAD PETERSON AFB CO/DOY

AIG 952

UNCLAS ~~FOUO~~

SUBJ: UNKNOWN OBJECT REPORTING

REF: HQ NORAD/DO MSG 131617Z NOV 75

SUBJECT MESSAGE REQUIRED THE USE OF NORAD/DO FORM 17 (NOW SUPERSEDED BY NORAD FORM 61) TO RECORD DATA ON UFOS OTHER THAN ESTABLISHED TRACKS. THIS MESSAGE IS HEREWITH RESCINDED, AND THE DELETION OF THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE REFLECTED IN THE NEXT CHANGE TO NORAD/ADCOM MANUAL 55-19, VOLUME VII. THIS MESSAGE CORRECTS AND SUPERSEDES SIMILAR NORAD/DOY MSG OF 072128Z APR 78.

J-6

ROBERT O. WINGEARD, MATH STAT, DOY
3563, 5 APR 78

LYLE L. KNUDSEN, DEP DIR SYS EVAL
DOY, 3563

112148Z APR 78

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

MAR 16 1973

DCW

Doc. 35a

Mr. Jenkins
Mr. Mason
Mr. Miller
Mr. Smith
Mr. Thompson
Mr. Walters
Tele. Room
Mr. Finley
Mr. Armstrong
Mr. Evans
Mr. Holloman
Mr. Kerwig
Mr. Kline
Mrs. Neenan

NR008 SE CODE

6:13 PM NITEL 3-16-73 DCB

TO ACTING DIRECTOR

MINNEAPOLIS

FROM SEATTLE (P) 4PG

UNSUBS; TRAVEL TO WOUNDED KNEE, SOUTH DAKOTA, 3-3-73,
BY MEMBERS NISQUALLY RESERVATION, OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, ARL;
SFCAA.

UNSUBS; AUTOMOBILE CARAVAN FROM SEATTLE, WASHINGTON,
3-10-73, IN SUPPORT OF AMERICAN INDIANS AT WOUNDED KNEE,
SOUTH DAKOTA, ARL.

ROBERT MESTAS; PHILLIP LOUIS OREGA; UNSUBS (16); TRAVEL
TO WOUNDED KNEE, SOUTH DAKOTA, IN VEHICLES FROM STATES OF
MONTANA, WASHINGTON, AND MARYLAND, 3-8-73, ARL.

RE BUREAU TELETYPES TO MINNEAPOLIS AND SEATTLE DATED
3/9 AND 3/13/73.

[REDACTED] RADIO STATION KIXI, 10 MAR 22 1973
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, ADVISED ON 3-14-73 STATION'S BLACK NEWS.

END PAGE ONE

CC --

Original
10 APR 4 1973

NO DISSEM.
RUC

FS

176-2281-

NOT RECORDED

68 MAR 27 1973

Declassified per 17 Apr 90 memo

PCF

~~SECRET/SENIOR TREND~~

PCF

~~SPECIAL ACCESS REQUIRED~~

A T T A C H M E N T 1

1. YOU INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IN FOIA REQUESTS:

PCF A. (~~S/SAR~~) THE NAME SENIOR TREND IN ASSOCIATION WITH AN AIRCRAFT.

PCF B. (~~S/SAR~~) THE NUMBER F-117 IN ASSOCIATION WITH AN AIRCRAFT AND
THE NAME SENIOR TREND.

2. THIS INFORMATION IS CLASSIFIED AND SUBJECT TO A SPECIAL DETERMINATION
OF NEED TO KNOW BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.

CLASSIFIED BY: SENIOR TREND SECURITY GUIDE

DECLASSIFY ON: OADR

J-3

PCF

PCF



TOP SECRET

EYES ONLY

THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

TOP SECRET - EYES ONLY

7 June 1954


Memorandum for: C/S USA (General Matthew B. Ridgway)
 CNO (Admiral Robert B. Carney) ~~==~~
 C/S USAF (General Nathan F. Twining) ✓

Attached is self explanatory. Would like your comments or concurrence as to its accuracy in reflecting your views.

AMR.

COPY # 24 OF FIVE COPIES

J-4



d
i
he
itary

rations,
in
etary of
sized by
sh policy



TOP SECRET
THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

EYES ONLY

TOP SECRET - EYES ONLY

7 June 1954

Memorandum for: C/S USA (General Matthew B. Ridgway)
 CNO (Admiral Robert B. Carney) ✓
 C/S USAF (General Nathan F. Twining) ✓

Attached is self explanatory. Would like your comments or concurrence as to its accuracy in reflecting your views.

AMR.

COPY # 74 OF FIVE COPIES

TOP SECRET

EYES ONLY

ations,
in
etary of
sised by
sh policy

EYES ONLY

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION OF THE LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

~~TOP SECRET~~

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

December 30, 1958



MEMORANDUM OF CONFERENCE WITH THE PRESIDENT:
Friday, December 1958

In addition to the points raised in the attached memorandum, the President made the following points:

1. The President expressed his feeling that for intelligence matters, the JCS should have one intelligence group. He pointed out that there is to be only one military plan and asked why there should be separate intelligence agencies and plans within the top military command.
2. The President expressed a feeling that for one reason or another the main elements of the Cuban situation had not been presented to him. He said that he would speak to Secretary of State Dulles about better coordination.
3. The President indicated that he did not wish the specifics of covert operations to be presented to the NSC.

Gordon Gray

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 12065, Sec. 3-204

MR 77-175 #14

By DTH Date 10/23/81

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
HEADQUARTERS, ARMY AIR FORCES

WASHINGTON

IN REPLY REFER TO: AFBI-00

From AF

5 SEP 1947


Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Department of Justice
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Sir:

Attention: Liaison Section

In answer to a verbal request of your Mr.
S. W. Reynolds, a complete survey of research activities
discloses that the Army Air Forces has no project with
the characteristics similar to those which have been
associated with the Flying Discs.

Yours sincerely,



GEO. F. SCHULGEN
Brigadier General, U.S.A.
Deputy, Ass't. Chief of Air Staff-2

REGRADED UNCLASSIFIED
ON SEP 27 1977
BY CDR USAINTA FOIC
AUTH Para 1-603 DOD5200

SECURITY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

ADDRESS REPLY TO: COMMANDING GENERAL, ARMY AIR FORCES, WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

UNCLASSIFIED

01 01

RR

UUUU

112148Z

APR 78

HQ NORAD PETERSON AFB CO/DOY

AIG 952

UNCLAS ~~FOUO~~

SUBJ: UNKNOWN OBJECT REPORTING

REF: HQ NORAD/DO MSG 131617Z NOV 75

SUBJECT MESSAGE REQUIRED THE USE OF NORAD/DO FORM 17 (NOW SUPERSEDED BY NORAD FORM 61) TO RECORD DATA ON UFOS OTHER THAN ESTABLISHED TRACKS. THIS MESSAGE IS HERewith RESCINDED, AND THE DELETION OF THIS REQUIREMENT WILL BE REFLECTED IN THE NEXT CHANGE TO NORAD/ADCOM MANUAL 55-19, VOLUME VII. THIS MESSAGE CORRECTS AND SUPERSEDES SIMILAR NORAD/DOY MSG OF 072128Z APR 78.

ROBERT O. WINGEARD, MATH STAT, DOY
3563, 5 APR 78

LYLE L. KNUDSEN, DEP DIR SYS EVAL
DOY, 3563

112148Z APR 78

UNCLASSIFIED

After

MLT(PST-1-7-49)11

OSI

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

NUCLEAR ENERGY BRANCH

STATUS OF THE U.S.S.R. ATOMIC ENERGY PROJECT

1 July 1949

OSI/SR-10/49

WARNING: This document may not be reproduced without prior approval of the Director of Central Intelligence.

This report has been prepared by the Joint Nuclear Energy Intelligence Committee which is composed of members of the Departments of State, Army, Navy, and Air Force, the Atomic Energy Commission, and the Central Intelligence Agency.

CLASSIFIED

E. O. 11652, Sec. 3(c) and 3(d)

By MT-86

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 11652, Sec. 3(c) and 3(d) or (E)

By C.I.A. Date 4-16-79

By MT-86 NARS, Date 6-6-79

1 July 1949

Status of the U.S.S.R. Atomic Energy Project

The Joint Nuclear Energy Intelligence Committee makes the following estimate of the status of the U.S.S.R. Atomic Energy Project:

(1) In the 1 January 1949 report it was stated that the earliest possible date by which the U.S.S.R. might be expected to produce an atomic bomb was mid-1950 and the most probable date was mid-1953. The information now available substantiates these dates in general, although new information indicating one method which the Soviets are following suggests that their first atomic bomb cannot be completed before mid-1951.

(2) Based on evidence that the Soviet effort is directed toward producing a plutonium bomb, on current estimates of the amount of uranium available, and on the assumption that their plants will operate at reasonable efficiency, the maximum number of atomic bombs the Soviets could stockpile after a mid-1953 initial date is 60 by mid-1955 and 150 by mid-1957, by which time it is estimated that currently known uranium sources would be exhausted. The maximum number of atomic bombs the Soviets could stockpile after a mid-1950 initial date is 130 by mid-1955 and 150 by mid-1957.

It must be emphasized that estimates of bomb stockpiles depend very strongly on the amount of uranium ore available to the U.S.S.R. If the current ore estimates are revised, the bomb stockpile will be changed correspondingly. It is anticipated that various low-grade sources of uranium may be developed within the U.S.S.R., but it is impossible to estimate whether or on what scale such a development program will be carried out.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
GUIDE TO INVESTIGATION
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECTS

FBI

Incident No. _____

1. Date of Observation 30 Aug 49 Date of Interview 21 Aug 49
2. Exact time of observation (local) 2035
3. Place of Observation: GP Crossville Mountain 913.3 - 858.6
(Map Coordinates)
4. Position of observer (air, car, bldg, location of - give details):
Standing
5. What attracted attention to object:
Moving light in sky
6. Number of objects and sketch of formation or grouping:
One
7. Apparent size (compare to known object, i.e., sun, moon, thumb
or fist at arms length):
Looked like the headlight of an old car in the distance
8. Color of object:
yellow to orange
9. Shape (give graphic description - compare with known object);
No definite shape - just a moving light
10. Altitude (Angle of elevation above horizon - 0 degrees at horizon,
90 degrees overhead):
Straight out from GP - elevation of which is approx. 150 ft above
surrounding terrain
11. Direction from observer (Angle clockwise from North):
Due North
12. Distance from observer (Distance to town, bldg, etc., over
which object appeared to be):
Initially sighted 1 to 2 miles from observer
13. Direction of flight of object (s):
From North to South passing over Killeen Base.
14. Time of sight:
1 to 2 seconds
15. Speed (time to cover given angular distance):
60° in 2 seconds

Incl

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

FBI - SAN ANTONIO
AUG 16 1949

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

65-58300-72

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

16. Sound and odor:
None
17. Trail (color, length, width, persistence, etc.)
Very narrow orange trail - 7 or 8 times the length of main object
18. Luminosity (visible by reflection, incandescence, other - degree of brilliance):
Of less intensity than a star
19. Projections (fins, wings, rods, antennae, canopies, etc.):
None
20. Maneuvers (turns, climbs, dives, etc. - sketch of flight path):
Absolutely level flight
21. Manner of disappearance:
Out out in a fraction of a second.
22. Effect on clouds:
None
23. Additional information concerning object:
Passed over Guard House of KB - and was observed by some one at that installation
24. Weather conditions and light at time of sighting:
Very clear

Name and address of observer: **Lt Ralph E. Schafer
4th Co, 66th Med Tk Bn
2d Armd Div, Camp Hood, Texas**

Occupation and hobbies:
Officer, US Army

Comments of Interrogator relative to intelligence and character of observer (Check neighbors, police dept., FBI records, employer, etc.):
Excellent observer

Did observer wear glasses, especially polaroid glasses at time of sighting, or was object viewed through canopy, window, or other transparent material? **None**

Pages 3 & 4 of this form not applicable to the incident.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

INTERVIEWED BY:

George E. Siemers
GEORGE E. SIEMERS
Capt Inf
Eq, 2d Armd Div
Camp Hood, Texas


~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
GUIDE TO INVESTIGATION
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL OBJECTS

Incident No. _____

1. Date of Observation 10 Aug 49 Date of Interview 11 Aug 49
2. Exact time of observation (local) (#1-2100)-(#2-2120)-(#3-2215)-(#4-2250)
3. Place of Observation: Crossville Mt. - 913.3-858.6
(Map Coordinates)
4. Position of observer (air, car, bldg, location of - give details):
Standing at field force observation post.
5. What attracted attention to object:
Moving light in a clear sky.
6. Number of objects and sketch of formation or grouping:
One at each time indicated in # 2.
7. Apparent size (compare to known object, i.e., sun, moon, thumb or fist at arms length):
Large star.
8. Color of object:
Predominately white followed by orange trail.
9. Shape (give graphic description - compare with known object);
Generally round with trail.
10. Altitude (Angle of elevation above horizon - 0 degrees at horizon, 90 degrees overhead):
40° to 70°
11. Direction from observer (Angle clockwise from North):
(#1-N.E.-40°) (#2-Due North) (#3-N.E. 930°) (#4-N-05°)
12. Distance from observer (Distance to town, bldg, etc. ^{over} which object appeared to be):
Great distance - unestimable.
13. Direction of flight of object (s):
1,3,4 & Almost horizontal with slight increase in altitude, # 2 -
- Ascended almost vertical.
14. Time of sight:
A second or less.
15. Speed (time to cover given angular distance):
Great speed - unestimable.

Incl

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



65-58300-72

REGRADED UNCLASSIFIED
ON 07 28 1982
BY DEP CDR U. AINS COM FOIPO
Auth Para 1-603 DoD 5200. 1-R

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2

16. Sound and odor:
None
17. Trail (color, length, width, persistence, etc.)
Flame like trail with ragged end.
18. Luminosity (visible by reflection, incandescence, other - degree of brilliance):
As brilliant as a star of the first magnitude.
19. Projections (fins, wings, rods, antennae, canopies, etc.):
None
20. Maneuvers (turns, climbs, dives, etc. - sketch of flight path):
1, 3, & 4 Horizontal flight with slight gain in altitude. # 2 Almost straight up.
21. Manner of disappearance:
Went out like a light.
22. Effect on clouds:
None
23. Additional information concerning object:
Falling stars were observed in the course of the evening but objects reported on were slower moving.
24. Weather conditions and light at time of sighting:
Clear, moon almost full.

Name and address of observer: **Lt Edwin E. Piburn
CO - 1st Co., 66th Med Tk Bn
2d Armd Div., Cp Hood, Texas**

Occupation and hobbies:
Officer, US Army.

Comments of Interrogator relative to intelligence and character of observer (Check neighbors, police dept., FBI records, employer, etc.):
Excellent observer.

Did observer wear glasses, especially polaroid glasses at time of sighting, or was object viewed through canopy, window, or other transparent material? **None.**

Pages 3 & 4 of this form not applicable to the incident.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Interviewed by:

George M. Silvers
**GEORGE M. SILVERS
Captain, Inf
2d Armd Div
Camp Hood, Texas**

REGRADED UNCLASSIFIED
ON **DEC 28 1982**
BY DEP CDR USAINSCOM FOIPO
Auth Para 1-603 DoD 5200.1-R

~~RESTRICTED~~

(Classification)

Requirement Br

AF475040

Country United States	Report No. 1	(Leave Blank)
--------------------------	-----------------	---------------

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

Subject Unidentified Flying Objects Reporting (FLYORPT)

Area Reported On Section 19, Township of Columbus, Columbus, Wis.	From (Agency) 126 Ftr-Intcp Sq, Truax Fld
Date of Report 26 June 1952	Date of Information 18 June 1952
Evaluation	

Prepared by (Officer) OMAR M. OLSEN, 1st Lt, USAF	Source Mr. [REDACTED], Columbus, Wis.
--	--

References (Control number, directive, previous report, etc., as applicable)
MSG 443, dated 26 June 1952, 126th Fighter-Intcp Sqdn, Truax Fld, Madison, Wis.

Summary: (Enter concise summary of report. Give significance in final one-sentence paragraph. List inclosures at lower left. Begin text of report on AF Form 112---Part II.)

On 18 June 1952, at approximately 9 A.M., a crescent shaped object, resembling a new moon in size and shape, unlike any known conventional aircraft, was seen to remain motionless for several seconds and then move up and North, vanishing almost instantaneously. Observer reports seeing two jet type aircraft in the same vicinity, but reports that object was at a lower altitude, also that it did not leave a vapor trail.

3K
if (incl)
Requirement Br

1 Incl

1. Ltr fr Mr. [REDACTED] - FILED DD

Distribution by Originator

Director of Intelligence, Headquarters USAF, Washington 25, D. C., 3 cys
Chief, Air Technical Intelligence Center, ATTN: ATIAA-2c, Wright-Patterson
AFB, Ohio, 1 informational copy
UNIT FILE, 1 copy

NOTE: This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act, 50 U.S.C.----31 and 32, as amended. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part, by other than United States Air Force agencies, except by permission of the Director of Intelligence, USAF.

~~RESTRICTED~~

(Classification)

~~RESTRICTED~~

FORM 112---PART II
APPROVED 1 June 1948

(Classification)

AIR INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION REPORT

From (Agency) 126th Ftr-Intop Sq, Travis Fld, Madison, Wisconsin	Report No. 1	Page 2 of 2 Pages
--	-----------------	-------------------

In compliance with Air Force Letter 200-5, dated 29 April 1952, paragraph 7c and 7d, the following report is submitted:

1. Object was of crescent shape, resembled a new moon in size and shape. Was not like conventional aircraft.
2. Time of sighting was approximately 9 A.M. on the 18th of June 1952.
3. Object was observed visually from the ground.
4. The grid co-ordinate at the place of sighting are 59 Degrees 4 minutes West and 43 Degrees 20 minutes North. The nearest known landmark is Columbus, Wisconsin. Object moved North and was at a lower altitude than two Jet aircraft flying in the vicinity.
5. There is no knowledge of the observer, consequently his rating will be 6-7.
6. Clear sky prevailed over the area at the time of sighting. Visibility was at 15 miles. Winds were from the North-North-West at 13 miles per hour. The temperature was in the high 70 Degrees.
7. No meteorological or other solar disturbances have been accounted for at that time.
8. No physical evidence exists.
9. No interception or identification action was taken due to the delay in receipt of report.
10. On 18 June 1952 our Jet aircraft were flying local and camera gunnery missions. Source has stated that he saw two Jets to the South-South-West at the same time of sighting.

NOTE: This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Act, 50 U.S.C.----31 and 32, as amended. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part, by other than United States Air Force agencies, except by permission of the Director of Intelligence, USAF.

~~RESTRICTED~~

(Classification)

1842

~~TOP SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

~~TOP SECRET~~
Auth OS, USAF

2-36469

OSLID CY-1

T.S. CONT. #
D.I. HQ USAF

30 December 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR: General Ramey
General Lee
General Samford

In the future, all papers pertaining to clandestine or covert operations will be hand-carried by officer only. A red cover sheet indicating classification and officer hand-carry only will be placed on all such papers.

THOMAS D. WHITE
Lieutenant General, USAF
Deputy Chief of Staff, Operations


cc: Col Hutchison

Copy No. 1 of 5 Copies of Series B
Page No. 1 of 4 Pages

COPY
from
THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
Record Group No. _____

~~TOP SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

DECLASSIFIED
Authority NNB 857013
BY.....NARS, Date.....



RG 341, Records of the Headquarters United
States Air Force

Ali of Intel TS 2-36 460



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

IN REPLY REFER TO
Op-322H3-1cm
Serial 014689P32

24 AUG 1950

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

From: Director of Naval Intelligence
To: Director of Intelligence, U. S. Air Force

Subj: Flying Saucers, report of

Encl: (1) Photostatic copy of letter reporting flying saucers, dtd
26 July 1950 from Lt. Roland L. Soule, USNR to District
Intelligence Officer, 13th Naval District.

1. Enlosure (1) is forwarded herewith for retention.

J. R. Bromley
J. R. BROMLEY
By direction

Gen M. W. in Africa
H. A. Adams, Maj USNR BB
SEP 6 1950

AF218953

NUMBERS

←

DECLASSIFIED

E. O. 12358, Sec. 8.3

841508

By *WGL*, NARS, Date *7/30/85*

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

PRM-68515

0009 Flying Saucers

RECEIVED
3.3
NND 84508
WOLFWITZ NARS, Date 7-25-85

(Date) (Initials)

INT 373.5 (E)

43 APR 1956

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Object

TO: Director of Intelligence, Headquarters United States Air Force, Washington 25, D. C.

1. Attached for your information are two narrative reports concerning radar sighting of an unidentified flying object.
2. The fact that the object was sighted on the scopes of two (2) radars is considered worthy of special note.
3. Comment of technical experts, this headquarters, was solicited and is quoted in part for your consideration:
 - a. "While it is relatively well known that various ionospheric conditions cause reflections at lower frequencies, it is usually considered that these layers have no effect at the frequencies used by the two radar sets mentioned except when temperature inversion or other atmospheric or tropospheric conditions cause ducting and spurious reflections. Presuming that such idealized conditions existed at the time of these observations, it is conceivable that an actual small change in physical lateral motion in reference to the radar set could cause a seemingly greater change in relative position of the 'object' as observed on the radar scope due to the varying path lengths the radar energy takes to and from the 'object' as a function of the frequency sensitive layers and angles of incidence of the propagated wave. However, the great difference in the frequencies of the L-Band CP8-5 and the S-Band CP8-4 radar sets and the evident correlation of observations between these two sets almost rule out the possibility of anomalous propagation effects. Further, the magnitude of the velocity and accelerations of the three dimensional movements of the 'object' reported are beyond the capability of known heavier or lighter than air vehicles in controlled flight.
 - b. "Also substantiating this unlikelihood, is the fact that the 'object' was reported as remaining stationary in free space for a mean-period of two minutes.
 - c. "Further validity is lent to the contention of the reports by statements that first indications, which were at high altitudes, were

~~SECRET~~

INT 373.5 (E), "Unidentified Flying Object", (cont)

observed on the CPS-4 height-finder before being observed on the CPS-5 surveillance radar set. This follows logic and field experience, inasmuch as the high altitude coverage of the CPS-5 is known to be poor and the antenna is not capable of being automatically tilted as in the case of the CPS-4 on which the controller may tilt the antenna within wide limitations to observe any high altitude or high angle objects. It is to be noted that previous field experience with a CPS-5 surveillance radar set has indicated that targets picked up at ranges and altitudes indicated in subject report would probably have a reflection aspect ratio in the order of magnitude of a B-29 or greater.

d. "In the absence of detailed vertical and horizontal coverage charts for the specific radar sites and comprehensive weather reports for the area during the period of time these observations were noted, a more complete study or evaluation at this time is not feasible.

e. "In summary, no known electronic phenomena, nor combinations of several electronic phenomena could conceivably produce all of the observations covered by the attached reports."

4. The frequency of reports of this nature has recently increased; instructions have therefore been directed to all radar installations within this command to report scope sightings of unusual objects.

5. It is recommended that reports of unidentified object sightings be reconsidered for submission from all Zone of Interior Air Force agencies.

FOR THE COMMANDING GENERAL:

NEAL J. O'BRIEN
Colonel, USAF
Air Adjutant General

2 Incls

1. Report of 1st Lt. P.E.Parker
2. Report of 1st Lt. P.K.Mattson

~~SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

TALLY NO.	
FILE NO.	

SUBJECT: (Uncl) R&D Requirements Fiscal Year 1953

TO: Policy Division, Directorate of Intelligence, DCS/O
ATTN: Col. Leland


DATE 7 Nov 1951

COMMENT NO. 1

FROM: Director of Requirements, DCS/D

Col Saunders/bow/78260
AFDRQ-SP

Attached Tab D of your R&R dated 19 October, same subject, directed to this office is returned. This is in confirmation of the telephone conversation between the undersigned and Col. Leland this date. No action will be taken on the inclosed projects.



JACK W. SAUNDERS
Colonel, USAF
Chief, Special Projects
Division

1 Incl
Tab D

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, Sec. 2.3
84508
By WGL, NARS, Date 7/30/85

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

AFOIN-V/TC

Lt.Col. Hundt/ro/55894

Wrttn 7 Dec 51

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 12866, Sec. 3.3

841508

By: WAC NARS, Date: 7/30/85

PROBLEM

1. To write up the "Unidentified Flying Objects" project for forwarding to AMC in order to initiate a research study on this problem.

FACTS AND DISCUSSION

2. On 23 Nov 51, an R&R was received by AFOIN-V/TC with a cover sheet requesting that AFOIN-V, in collaboration with ATIC, write up a research project on the "Unidentified Flying Objects" problem and recommend a research agency to accomplish this study. The aforementioned cover sheet also suggested that Mr. Mayer, AFOIN-T, be contacted for advice as to the manner and format of this write up.

3. Mr. Mayer, Ext. 75957, was contacted on 24 Nov 51 and was most helpful in regard to the write up of this project. However, he advised that it would be improper and illegal for anyone but the procurement agency, AMC, to recommend a research agency or to attempt an estimation of the cost of the project.

4. After receiving Mr. Mayer's assistance, a proposed letter to AMC, subject: (Unclassified) "Request to Initiate Research Contract," with attached Tab "A" describing the project, was prepared. In addition, a DF has been prepared forwarding the letter to ATIC with a request for their suggested additions, deletions, and/or changes, and any comments they desire to offer.

5. Subject matter will not be submitted for inclusion in the Daily Staff Digest.

ACTION

6. Approval, signature, and forwarding.

COORDINATION

Col John G Eriksen, AFOIN-V/TC, Ext. 52466
CAPT L L Black, USN, AFOIN-V, Ext. 55909
, AFOIN-A, Ext.

JGE
WAC

~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 12812, Sec. 3.3

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

By W. G. NARR Date 7/20/51

TALLY NO.	
FILE NO.	

SUBJECT: (Unclassified) Directorate of Intelligence Research and Development Requirements, Fiscal Years 1952 and 1953

TO: Plans Division, Directorate of Intelligence, DCS/O
ATTENTION: Colonel E. S. Leland

DATE 19 OCT 1951

FROM: Directorate of Intelligence, DCS/O

COMMENT NO. 1

Lt Col Shoop/rlp/52466
AFOIN-V/TC

1. The following Research and Development projects are required for fiscal years 1952 and 1953 by the Directorate of Intelligence:

a. Scientific examination of all files relating to the phenomena of unidentified flying objects, in order to determine the feasibility of existence of flying objects with the general characteristics observed.

Estimated time: Eight (8) months

Estimated cost: \$35,000.00

b. The investigation of the "unified field theory" to determine if it is feasible to produce energy applicable to aircraft propulsion.

Estimated time: Six (6) months

Estimated cost: \$25,000.00

c. Remarks: One of the by-products of the general field of Einstein's Theory of Relativity analysis was the endowment of energy with the characteristics of mass, the inter-relation between gravitation and inertia and the recognition of various fields of force as being different aspects of a common phenomenon - the "unified field theory." This theory has been explained by Minkowski in terms of the 4-dimensional "space-time" or "Minkowski world" called a "world line."

d. There are only a few, if any, mathematical scientists who understand such advanced and complicated theory. It should be clearly understood at the onset of this project that only pure theoretical concepts are available as a basis for further investigation.

e. The Republic Aviation Company, through Mr. Brewster, has expressed interest in this project. He stated that experiments would be made by Republic Aviation Company at their own expense to determine the possibility of generating energy by some application of the "unified field theory."

William A. Adams

WILLIAM A. ADAMS
Colonel, USAF
Deputy Chief, Evaluation Division
Directorate of Intelligence

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

COVER SHEET

RECORD OF BASIC CORRESPONDENCE OR REQUEST				CLASSIFICATION	
FROM: AFOIN-P/PL		DATE <div style="text-align: center;">CONFIDENTIAL DECLASSIFIED E.O. 13526 8/15/08 BY: WGC DATE: 7/30/85</div>		CONFIDENTIAL	
TYPE		SUSPENSE			
SUMMARY (Unclassified) R&D Requirements Fiscal Year 1952				DATE	
				ASGD BY	
				NO.	
				DATE REC'D D/I	
SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS					
TO: AFOIN-V ATTN: Colonel Adams				DATE 13 Nov 51	
FROM: AFOIN-P/PL				NO. 1	
REMARKS <p>1. Reference is made to attached R&R, Comment No. 1 from Directorate of Requirements, above subject, dated 7 November 1951, with enclosed Tab "D" consisting of two R&D projects recommended by AFOIN-V.</p> <p>2. As agreed, the "Flying Objects" project should be financed out of the D/I contingency fund as opposed to R&D Funds. The "Unified Field Theory" project has been cancelled outright.</p> <p>3. It is requested that AFOIN-V, in collaboration with ATIC, write up the "Flying Objects" project in sufficient detail to guide the research agency ultimately selected to accomplish the work. AFOIN-T (Mr. Mayer - Ext 75957) and the ATIC have had considerable experience in matters of this kind and should be of help to you in writing up the project and recommending a research agency. Once the project has been reduced to writing, a competent research activity has been selected, and the cost of the research has been determined, request the matter be referred to the AFOIN R&D Committee for approval and funding arrangements with the D/I Budget and Fiscal officer.</p> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"> EDWIN S. LELAND Colonel, USAF </div>					
(Use reverse for additional)					
IN	OFFICE	OUT	IN	OFFICE	OUT
	AFOIN-P			AFOIN-A	
	P/PL			AFOIN-V	
	P/MIC			V/AE	
	P/FL			V/AF	
	P/FL			V/TC	
	AFOIN-C			AFOIN-T	
	C/CC			T/TP	
	C/DD			T/TR	
	C/AA			T/AO	
	C/SR			T/AV	
	C/RC			T/PV	
	C/EE			AFOIN-E	
	ADMIN			E/EA	
	M&R			E/PE	
	B&F			E/CE	
	CABLE			E/WE	
	PERS			E/CI	
ACTION ASGD TO			DISPATCH		
SIGNED			FILE		
DATE			DATE		
			DATE		

000.9 1951 . . .

COPY
from
THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
Record Group 341 HQ USAF
AD. INFO. Dec Corres files
1947-53
Dir of
Intell,

ACSI-SPR

62137H

29 March 1956

1251 G-2

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects (U)

TO: Director of Special Investigations
Department of the Air Force
Temp. Bldg. E. Room H-371
Washington 25, D. C.

REGISTERED

1033000

1. Concerning the above subject:

() reference is made to _____
dated _____ subject as above.

(X) the inclosed information is forwarded for your information
and action deemed appropriate.

() the inclosed information is forwarded for information upon
which the Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, Department of the Army may base
a reply.

2. Files of the Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, Department of the Army reveal:

() no additional information. () nothing identifiable.

() no record. () nothing derogatory.

3. Attention is invited to Paragraph 43, SR 380-320-10, which
prescribes that the disclosure of the nature, sources, or even the
existence of counterintelligence information to persons mentioned in such
reports or to other persons not normally entitled to such information may
be made only when specifically authorized by the Assistant Chief of Staff,
G-2, Department of the Army, or higher authority. Unauthorized disclosure
of such information will be considered a violation of AR 380-5.

FOR THE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF, ~~12/11~~ INTELLIGENCE:

1 Incl

Photocopy ltr

27Feb56

M. A. QUINTO

Colonel, GS

Chief, Security Division

J. Reynolds
ER: 6A ltr 6Mar56 w/27Feb ltr incl rec R&D 13Mar56 advised that during dates 2Feb-
21Feb56, sightings of unidentified flying objects by mbrs of command noted. Sightings
were visual and in three instances by radar oscilloscope operated by 47th AAA Det.

G2FLT20
Inst. act self-explanatory.

STATUS: Complete

COORD: None

147TH: SR 380-320-10; G2

RECORD SECTION COM
Reg 345-3
Personnel Security Branch

452,1
29 Mar 56
1033000

1251 G-2

HEADQUARTERS SIXTH ARMY

PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff, G2

ALGBI-I-3 350.09

MAR 6 1956

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects (U)

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence
Department of the Army
Washington 25, D. C.
Attention: Chief, Collection Division

Forwarded for your information is letter, Headquarters, The Armored Combat Training Center, Camp Irwin, California, 27 February 1956, subject as above.

1 Incl:
Ltr, Cp Irwin,
27 Feb 56

J. H. GILBRETH
Colonel GS
AC of S, G2

to Harvard (19 June 20)

pic, Incl SECRET

72

7251 G-2

452.1

6 May 56
10 June 56

DECLASSIFIED DATE CANNOT BE PREETER

1745

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~


AMFAI-B

27 February 1956

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Object (U)

6. All sources of information are considered reliable. The following maps were used:

a. 1:50,000 sheets RED PASS LAKE 2754 IV - TIEFORT MT 2654 I, AMS Series V795.


MERSHAN G. SHADDY
Captain GS
AC of S, G-2

REGRADING DATE CANNOT BE PREDETERMINED

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Major Reppa/72622/mim

Robert Reppa

ACSI-SPD-S

29 February 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR: DIRECTOR OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS
Department of the Air Force

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Object

The attached Summary of Information pertaining to an unidentified flying object is furnished for your information and any action deemed appropriate.

1 Incl
SOI dated 24 Feb 56
fr G2, First Army

Encs. not Rec'd
Records Section

THOMAS F. GUIDERA
Lt Colonel, GS

M. A. QUINTO
Colonel, GS
Chief, Security Division

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD:

Ltr, 24 Feb 56, LA to ACSI, fwds SOI in which reporter fr NY Journal-American phoned LA Duty Officer 23 Feb 56 and reported a Sgt George Pierce reported an unidentified flying object flying over Brooklyn, New York towards Idlewild Airport 232000 Feb 56.

ACTION: Complete
COORD : None
DELAY : None
AUTH : SOP

Intelligence Records
Section, Pers Scty Br

1464)
G-2
452.1
297 of 56
(10 Jan 56)

181C

DISP
B-5447461
(75)

1464) G-2 45211

24 Feb 56 (10 Jan 56)

HEADQUARTERS FIRST ARMY
GOVERNORS ISLAND, NEW YORK 4, NEW YORK
Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, Intelligence

AHFKB-OD 350.05

24 February 1956

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Intelligence Information

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff, ~~EXX~~ Intelligence
Department of the Army
Washington 25, D. C.

Inclosed intelligence information is transmitted

() in compliance with Annex E, DAIP 1-53

() in compliance with Secret letter G2-COCI, Department of the Army,
10 March 1955, Subject: "Collection of Foreign Publications" (U).

(X) For information and action deemed appropriate.

FOR THE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF, G-2:

Encs. not Rec'd
Records Section

1 Incl

SOI dated 24 Feb 56
Subj: Unidentified Flying
Object.

E. S. Sporenburgh
E. S. SPONENBURGH
Lt Colonel GS
Chief, O&T Division, G-2

CONFIDENTIAL

Review + Dissem.
R-5447476 (30)

HEADQUARTERS FIRST ARMY
GOVERNORS ISLAND, NEW YORK 4, NEW YORK
Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, Intelligence

AHFKB-OD 350.05

JAN 26 1956

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Intelligence Information (U)

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff, ~~G-2~~, Intelligence
Department of the Army
Washington 25, D. C.

Inclosed intelligence information is transmitted

() in compliance with Annex E, DAIP 1-53

() in compliance with Secret letter G2-COCI, Department of the Army,
10 March 1955, Subject: "Collection of Foreign Publications" (U).

(X) For information and action deemed appropriate.

FOR THE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF, G-2:

1 Incl
SOI, subject:
Unidentified Flying Object

Leonard H. Smith, Jr.
LEONARD H. SMITH, JR.
Lt Colonel GS
Chief, O&T Division, G-2

RECORD SECTION COPY
Personnel Security Branch
26 Jan 56 (10 Jan 56)
15

Regraded UNCLASSIFIED when separated
from Classified inclosure.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

DATE 26 January 1956

PREPARING OFFICE Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, Intelligence, Hq First Army, Governors Island, New York 4, New York AHFKB-OD

SUBJECT Unidentified Flying Object

CODE FOR USE IN INDIVIDUAL PARAGRAPH EVALUATION

OF SOURCE:		OF INFORMATION:	
COMPLETELY RELIABLE	A	CONFIRMED BY OTHER SOURCES . . .	1
USUALLY RELIABLE	B	PROBABLY TRUE	2
FAIRLY RELIABLE	C	POSSIBLY TRUE	3
NOT USUALLY RELIABLE	D	DOUBTFULLY TRUE	4
UNRELIABLE	E	IMPROBABLE	5
RELIABILITY UNKNOWN	F	TRUTH CANNOT BE JUDGED	6

SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

1. The following report was received from Intelligence Officer, Hq Ft Totten, N.Y.

On 12 January 1956 a Mr. [REDACTED] an employee of Sylvania Electric Products, Inc., Bayside, New York, telephoned this office and stated that on 27 December 1955 at approximately 1650 hours, while driving from his place of employment in an automobile driven by a Mrs. [REDACTED] (another employee of Sylvania) they observed a curious phenomenon in the sky which appeared to be an unconventional type aircraft. Pertinent facts are as follows: (statements attached).

a. Location and Time of Sighting: Aircraft noted initially a little south of West. Observers were travelling south on Utopia Parkway at approximately 1650 hours 27 December 1955 (reference statements Mrs Tortosa and Mr Sewall.

b. Weather at the time: Very clear in area. Official sunset time: 1733 hours.

c. Names, Occupations, and Addresses of Witnesses:

[REDACTED]	Occupation: Photographer
[REDACTED]	
Bayside, NY	
[REDACTED]	" Housewife
[REDACTED]	
Mrs [REDACTED]	" Secretary
[REDACTED]	
Flushing, NY	

d. Photographs of Subject: None. Photostated sketch made by Mr. Sewall attached I Incl 4f) 3.

e. Description of Object sighted: Resembled a gas cylinder with a plume similar to that which is seen when a rocket goes off.

- (1) Number: 1.
- (2) Shape: As above.

DISTRIBUTION 1 - G2, DA 1 - EADF 1 - G2, CONARC 1 - DI Branch

1251 G-2

45-01

26 Jan 56 (10 Jan 56)

CONFIDENTIAL

AHFKB-OD

27 January 1956

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Object

- (3) Size: Unknown. Witness compares length to "diameter of the moon when it rises at its full."
- (4) Color: Orange-red.
- (5) Speed: Appeared stationary.
- (6) Heading: Direction: East
- (7) Maneuverability: None
- (8) Altitude: Approximately 22 to 25 degrees above the horizon.
- (9) Sound: None
- (10) Exhaust Trail: A plume (sketch attached). (Incl 4).

f. Other pertinent information: None

g. Other agencies notified: Director of Intelligence, First Air Force, Mitchel Air Base, Long Island.

Intelligence Officer's Comment:

This is the first such unconventional type aircraft reported to this installation by outside sources. Further investigation revealed that Mr. [REDACTED] received a complimentary letter from Mr. [REDACTED], Staff, Astronomer, [REDACTED], New York City, dated 4 January 1956, for forwarding notes concerning the unconventional aircraft.

It is noted that an article was published in the Daily News on 20 December 1955, entitled "Jet and Sun Put on Show".

Mr. [REDACTED] was questioned as to the exact date and maintained that it was the 27th of December, the first day he had returned to duty after the Christmas holidays.

Mrs. [REDACTED] stated that she often watched the setting sun.

4 Incls:

- 1. Statement: Mrs. [REDACTED]
- 2. Statement: Mrs. [REDACTED]
- 3. Statement: Mr. [REDACTED]
- 4. Extracts fr Life Magazine dated 5 Dec 1955.
(To EADF only)

CONFIDENTIAL

R+D
R#5447810
(3)
1480 G-2
452.1

HEADQUARTERS FIRST ARMY
GOVERNORS ISLAND, NEW YORK 4, NEW YORK
Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, Intelligence

AHFKB-OD 350.05

JAN 10 1956

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Intelligence Information

TO: Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, Intelligence
Department of the Army
Washington 25, D. C.

Inclosed intelligence information is transmitted

() in compliance with Annex E, DAIP 1-53

() in compliance with Secret letter G2-COCI, Department of the Army,
10 March 1955, Subject: "Collection of Foreign Publications" (U).

(X) For information and action deemed appropriate.

FOR THE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF, G-2:

1 Incl

SOI, Unidentified
Flying Object.

Leonard H. Smith, Jr.
LEONARD H. SMITH, JR.
Lt Colonel GS
Chief, O&T Division, G-2

RECORD SECTION COPY
Personnel Security Branch

RK

10 Jan 56

1P/C w/ Incl

FL-KB-171
5 JUL 55

Army - Hq. First Army, N.Y.

SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

DATE 5 January 1956

PREPARING OFFICE Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2, Intelligence, Hq First Army,
Governors Island, New York 4, New York AHFKB-OD

SUBJECT

Unidentified Flying Object

CODE FOR USE IN INDIVIDUAL PARAGRAPH EVALUATION

OF SOURCE:

COMPLETELY RELIABLE A
 USUALLY RELIABLE B
 FAIRLY RELIABLE C
 NOT USUALLY RELIABLE D
 UNRELIABLE E
 RELIABILITY UNKNOWN F

OF INFORMATION:

CONFIRMED BY OTHER SOURCES . . 1
 PROBABLY TRUE 2
 POSSIBLY TRUE 3
 DOUBTFULLY TRUE 4
 IMPROBABLE 5
 TRUTH CANNOT BE JUDGED 6

SUMMARY OF INFORMATION

1. The following information was received at Hq, Boston Army Base on 29 December 1955.

2. A person identified as [REDACTED] Winchester, Mass., and described as "a little boy" stated that he observed unidentified flying objects as follows:

Location: Vicinity of Winchester, Mass.

Weather: Clear

Description: Three (3) objects; Shape: Oval; Size: one (1) inch in diameter; Color: White/red; Speed: faster than an airplane; Direction: North; Altitude: Higher than a plane; Sound: None; exhaust trail non visible.

Time : Early eve, 28 Dec 55

DISTRIBUTION 1 - G2, DA ✓ 1 - EADP 1 - Security Div, Hq PA 1 - DI Br, Hq PA

10 JAN 1956

~~RESTRICTED~~

Restricted Classification
Removed Per [unclear]
Executive Order 10501

HEADQUARTERS
CAMP HOLABIRD
BALTIMORE 19, MARYLAND

AWB/nm

AIDLL PIO

SUBJECT: Spot Report - Flying Saucers

5 OCT 1949

TO : Commanding General
Second Army
Fort George G. Meade, Maryland
ATTN: AC of S, G-2

Time and Date: 1517 to 1519, 30 September 1949

Details: At the above time and specified date Corporal G. Floyd of the Military Police, Camp Holabird, was on duty at the main gate when he observed two large objects flying through the air moving over the Fisher Body Plant in a South-Southwesterly direction from the main gate of Camp Holabird. These two objects had the mass of a DC-3 but the shape could not be exactly described, but a rough guess on the part of Corporal Floyd would be that of a silver dollar. Corporal Floyd called these objects to the attention of Corporal William A. Garvey, also of the Military Police, and also to the attention of Warrant Officer Mervin L. Walsh. Both Corporal Floyd and Corporal Garvey give the same story concerning the objects.

Follow-up Action: The Baltimore City Airport is being checked to determine if any such objects were observed or released from that area.

Conclusion: At approximately 1720 on 30 September 1949 the operations officer of the Baltimore Airport was contacted in person in reference to this above story and he in turn gave the following explanation. At approximately the time listed above a local manufacturer was testing a newly designed helicopter. This helicopter is composed of the rotor propeller and a single transparent glass bulb located directly under the middle of the blades. Observed from some distance the bulb gondola is not noticeable and it is quite possible that the observer would notice the propeller only. This was the only explanation the airport could give of this phenomenon and is believed to be the proper solution since the above observers stated that the object was seen in the general direction of the Baltimore Airport. No further action contemplated on this report.

FOR THE COMMANDING GENERAL:

George C. Sheldon
GEORGE C. SHELDON
Major CLP
Post Intelligence Officer

~~RESTRICTED~~

[Handwritten mark]

33 129828 452.1

5 Oct 49 (25) 27448

449

~~RESTRICTED~~

6th Oct 9P
NIR

BASIC: Ltr Cp Holabird, Md., AIDLL PIO, "Spot Report - Flying Saucers",
5 October 1949.

AIABB-2-67135

1st Ind

HEADQUARTERS SECOND ARMY, Fort George G. Meade, Maryland, 7 October 1949

TO: Director of Intelligence, GSUSA, Washington 25, D. C.
ATTN: Chief, Security and Training Group

1. Forwarded in compliance with letter CSGID 452.1, "Unconventional Aircraft", dated 1 November 1948.

2. Reference is made to telephonic report to your office at 1715 hours, 30 September 1949, regarding incident reported in basic communication.

FOR THE AC OF S, G-2:

Frederick R. Pitts

FREDERICK R. FITTS
Colonel, GSC
Dir, 21 Intell Div

133
18420
452.1

RECEIVED
OCT 10 1949
21 INT DIV

~~RESTRICTED~~

5-00849 (25-100049)
File R/S (Temp)
100

2-449

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

CSCID 452.1

SUBJECT: Reporting of Information on "Flying Discs"

COMMENT NO. 2

TO: USAF-AFOIR-CO

FROM: CSGID, Plans &

1 November 1948

Collection Branch Maj. Goudreau/3715/ld

1. Pursuant to telephonic conversation between Lt. Col. Garrett, United States Air Force, and Lt. Col. Smith, of this Division, only Army commands of the Zone of Interior are being alerted.

2. Inclosed herewith for your information are five (5) copies of Intelligence Division, GSUSA, instructions dispatched to the Zone of the Interior Armies.

FOR THE CHIEF, INTELLIGENCE GROUP:

45062

1 Incl:

5 copies ID

Instructions

*5 copies w/ original
only
1 copy sent
R/S 29 Oct 48a*

C. V. BROMLEY

Colonel, GSC

Chief, Plans & Collection Branch

MEMO FOR RECORD: Comment No. 1 from USAF, AFOIR-CO, requested that ID dispatch re-energizing letters to all addressees of reference letter (request for information on "Flying Discs"). Upon investigation it was revealed that all of the recipients of reference request (except Z/I Armies) had been instructed to transfer action to respective air attaches as of 1 September 1948. It was therefore decided that re-issuing of requests to these addressees would result in duplication of Army and Air Force efforts, and that re-energizing letters were necessary only to Zone of interior Armies. *DOT*

CONCURRENCE: USAF (Lt. Col. Garrett, ext. 4544) *DOT*

MAILED 10

RECORD SECTION COPY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

INCIDENT/COMPLAINT REPORT <small>(Continue on "PENSAS" on reverse, if necessary)</small>				DATE 17 NOV 77		INCIDENT/COMPLAINT NO. 44 MSS (68-3)		INVESTIGATION REPORT NO.	
THIS REPORT OF ACTION REQUIRED (See reverse)									
FOR: COMMANDER 44 Missile Security Squadron ELLSWORTH AFB, SD 57706									
								4. ORGANIZATION (Include location and phone no.)	
5. OTHER PERSONS COMPLETE ON ALL LISTINGS AND MEMORANDUM WHOSE IDENTITY IS IN QUESTION									
A. COLOR EYES	D. COLOR HAIR	C. COMPLEXION	B. SEX	E. DOB	F. ALIGHT	G. HEIGHT	H. IDENTIFYING MARKS (Tattoo, scar, etc.)		
6. HOW DRESSED (Military or civilian, and condition of clothing)							7. UNDER INFLUENCE OF		
							ALCOHOL (Explain in item 12) OTHER		
8. INCIDENT/COMPLAINT (Specify type and location) HELPING HAND (SECURITY VIOLATION)/COVERED WAGON (SECURITY VIOLATION) Site Lima 9 (68th SMSq Area) 7 Miles SW of Nisland, SD								HOUR 2100 DATE 16 Nov 77	
9. RECEIVED BY (Typed or printed name, grade, and position) PAUL D. HINZMAN, SSgt, USAF Comm/Plotter, Wing Security Control								IN PERSON <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BY TELEPHONE BY MAIL	
10. DETAILS OF INCIDENT (Who, what, when, where, how? If told orally, in at time of apprehension and give details if uncooperative. Attach statements of medical personnel.) At 2059hrs., 16 Nov 77, AIC PHILLIPS, Samuel A., Lima Security Control, telephoned WSC and reported an OZ alarm activation at L-9 and that Lima SAT #1, AIC JENKINS & AIC RAEKE were dispatched. (Trip #62, ETA 2135hrs.) At 2147hrs., AIC PHILLIPS telephoned WSC and reported that the situation at L-9 had been upgraded to a COVERED WAGON per request of CAPT STOKES, Larry D., FSO. Security Option II was initiated by WSC and Base CSC. BAP(Backup Security CONTD									
11. EVALUATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNFOUNDED <input type="checkbox"/> MISDEMEANOR <input type="checkbox"/> FELONY <input type="checkbox"/> MILITARY OFFENSE <input type="checkbox"/> TRAFFIC									
12. PERSONS RELATED TO REPORT (Insert category of relationship letter opposite name)									
A. COMPLAINANT	B. VICTIM	C. SUSPECT	D. WITNESS	E. MP/SP/AP	F. INVESTIGATED BY	G. APPREHENDED BY			
NAME		GRADE		SSN	ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.				
E	JENKINS, Kenneth C.	AIC		571-13-9597	44 MSS (68-3)				
E	RAEKE, Wayne E.	AIC		305-68-7556	44 MSS (68-3)				
F	STEWART, Robert E.	TSgt		211-28-7556	44 MSS (68-3)				
F	STOKES, Larry D.	Capt		339-40-9406	44 MSS (68-3)				
13. DISPOSITION OF INCIDENT/COMPLAINT									
A. REFERRED TO AFOSI				C. EVIDENCE (List and describe, or summarize as appropriate) All evidence retained by AFOSI and FBI					
B. PATROL									
SEE REPORT NUMBER AT TOP OF PAGE									
OTHER AGENCY (Specify)									
NOTE									
H. OFFENSE									
14. ENDORSEMENTS (Statements and receipts)				15. DISTRIBUTION		16. SIGNATURE (Signatures and if applicable)			
				2 - 44SMSW, ICC 1 - SAC LFI 1 - 157F 1 - MR HF		RICHARD F. LYON, Colonel, USAF Chief, Security Police EAFB SIGNED			

INCIDENT/COMPLAINT REPORT

(Continue on "REMARKS" on reverse if necessary)

DATE 17 Nov 77	INCIDENT/COMPLAINT NO. 68-3-157-1	INVESTIGATION REPORT NO.
--------------------------	---	--------------------------

1. REPORT OF ACTION REQUIRED (See reverse)

TO: COMMANDER
44 Missile Security Squadron
EILSWORTH AFB, SD 57706

A. ORGANIZATION (Include division and phone no.)	
--	--

B. PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION (Specify type and location)							
A. COLOR EYES	D. COLOR HAIR	C. COMPLEXION	E. SEX	F. DOB	G. WEIGHT	H. HEIGHT	I. IDENTIFYING MARKS (Tattoo, scar, etc.)

6. HOW DRESSED (Military or civilian, and condition of clothing)	7. UNDER INFLUENCE OF				
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">ALCOHOL</td> <td style="width: 50%;">(Explain in item 10)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OTHER</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	ALCOHOL	(Explain in item 10)	OTHER	
ALCOHOL	(Explain in item 10)				
OTHER					

8. INCIDENT/COMPLAINT (Specify type and location) HELPING HAND (SECURITY VIOLATION)/COVERED WAGON (SECURITY VIOLATION) Site Lima 9 (68th SMSq Area) 7 Miles SW of Nisland, SD	HOUR 2100 DATE 16 Nov 77
---	---

9. RECEIVED BY (Typed or printed name, grade, and position) PAUL D. HINZMAN, SSgt, USAF Comma/Plotter, Wing Security Control	IN PERSON <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BY TELEPHONE <input type="checkbox"/> BY MAIL
--	---

10. DETAILS OF INCIDENT (What, what, when, where, how? Include attitude at time of apprehension and give details if uncooperative. Attach statements of medical personnel.) At 2059hrs., 16 Nov 77, AIC PHILLIPS, Samuel A., Lima Security Control, telephoned WSC and reported an OZ alarm activation at L-9 and that Lima SAT #1, AIC JENKINS & AIC RAEKE were dispatched. (Trip #62, ETA 2135hrs.) At 2147hrs., AIC PHILLIPS telephoned WSC and reported that the situation at L-9 had been upgraded to a COVERED WAGON per request of CAPT STOKES, Larry D., FSO. Security Option II was initiated by WSC and Base CSC. BAF(Backup Security CONTD

11. EVALUATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNFOUNDED	<input type="checkbox"/> MISDEMEANOR	<input type="checkbox"/> FELONY	<input type="checkbox"/> MILITARY OFFENSE	<input type="checkbox"/> TRAFFIC
----------------	---	--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	---	----------------------------------

12. PERSONS RELATED TO REPORT (Insert category of relationship letter opposite name)

A. COMPLAINT	B. VICTIM	C. SUSPECT	D. WITNESS	E. NP/SP/AP	F. INVESTIGATED BY	G. APPREHENDED BY
	NAME	GRADE	SSN		ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.	
E	JENKINS, Kenneth C.	AIC	571-13-9597		44 MSS (68-3)	
E	RAEKE, Wayne E.	AIC	305-68-7556		44 MSS (68-3)	
F	STEWART, Robert E.	TSgt	211-28-7556		44 MSS (68-3)	
F	STOKES, Larry D.	Capt	329-40-9406		44 MSS (88-3)	

13. DISPOSITION OF INCIDENT/COMPLAINT

A. REFERRED TO AFOSI B. PATROL C. REPORT NUMBER AT TOP OF PAGE D. OTHER AGENCY (Specify) E. NONE	C. EVIDENCE (List and describe, or summarize as appropriate) All evidence retained by AFOSI and FBI
---	---

14. ENCLOSURES (Statements and receipts)	15. DISTRIBUTION	16. SIGNATURE (Strike out if inapplicable)
	2- 44SMSW/1CC L- SAC LFIH L- 157E L- 157E	RICHARD F. LYON, Colonel, USAF Chief, Security Police EAFB <div style="text-align: center; border-top: 1px solid black; width: 100px; margin: 10px auto;">SIGNED</div>

1842

~~TOP SECRET~~
SECURITY INFORMATION

TOP SECRET
Auth CS, USAF

2-36469

OS410CY-1

T.S. CONT. #
D.I. HQ USAF

30 December 1952


MEMORANDUM FOR: General Ramey
General Lee
General Samford

In the future, all papers pertaining to clandestine or covert operations will be hand-carried by officer only. A red cover sheet indicating classification and officer hand-carry only will be placed on all such papers.

THOMAS D. WHITE
Lieutenant General, USAF
Deputy Chief of Staff, Operations

Col Hutchison

Copy No. 1 of 5 Copies of Series B
Page No. 1 of 4 Pages



DECLASSIFIED
Authority NNB 857013
BY..... DATE.....

TOP SECRET

9 June 1954

Memorandum for the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff:

Reference: Your Top Secret Memorandum of 7 June 1954 addressed to Chief of Staff, U. S. Army, Chief of Naval Operations, and Chief of Staff, U. S. Air Force, on subject of French plan to activate 3 Reserve Divisions.

1. Your memorandum gives only some of the salient facts.
2. The salient facts omitted are:

a. SACEUR in his 2 June message stated:

"Unless this letter reveals some new facts not apparent from the outline of the plan presented by General Vernoux, I propose, unless you instruct me otherwise, to inform the French Government that I offer no objection to the implementation of this plan".

b. You stated orally to the Chiefs of Staff that you intended to withhold dispatch of the radio reply to SACEUR, unanimously agreed to by the Chiefs of Staff, until you had contacted the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State.

c. It was made clear to you both by me and the Chief of Naval Operations that this action, if taken, might completely nullify the unanimously approved action of the Chiefs of Staff, since the time required for you to contact the authorities mentioned was indetermined.

d. At the insistence of the Chief of Staff, U. S. Army, and the Chief of Naval Operations, based on the foregoing reasoning, you agreed that if you were unable to contact the authorities mentioned and get their clearance by 051800 EDT June 1954, you would dispatch to SACEUR by radio the instructions which the Chiefs of Staff had unanimously approved.

3. The foregoing gives a more accurate and adequate picture of what transpired than the memorandum you furnished me.

(SGD) M. B. RIDGWAY

M. B. RIDGWAY
General, United States Army

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of the issuing office.

TOP SECRET

Only for use of the 5/1/54

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

Note
"Eyes Only"
Stamp

TOP SECRET

EYES ONLY

EYES ONLY


2 September 1954

WFD

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

1. Air Vice Marshal Atcherley came in to see me this morning to report that he was making a trip to England and would report to the Chief of the RAF Staff in the next few days.
2. He queried as to what the next step might be in the exchange of atomic information in light of recent legislation. He pointed up that exchange of information seems to have come to a grinding stop and the RAF, of course, was anxious to get on with it. He stated that the new legislation provided for certain countries asking for permission to collaborate in certain phases of atomic warfare and wondered whether the initiative should now come from the RAF. I stated that in my opinion the best thing was to let this thing ride because it was highly political and that in due course something would be worked out.
3. Atcherley then said his second subject was RAF Exchange Officers. He stated that the British were anxious to increase their number here by about twelve officers, chiefly in the guided missiles field. He said that the agreement called for a total of ninety officers under the Exchange Program and that they now had about seventy-five over here. I told him that with respect to this problem, I wanted to have a Staff view since I had heard nothing of the proposal and felt I should not talk about something about which I was not fully informed.
4. This ended the conversation.
5. I would point up a possible significance to the sequence in which the two problems were taken up, indicating to me that there is a great possibility that the Dean case is well known to Atcherley. However, this is purely speculation on my part.

THOMAS D. WHITE
General, U. S. Air Force
Vice Chief of Staff

COPIES TO:
Chief of Staff 
Director of Intelligence
Assistant for Atomic Energy
Director of Special Investigations

This document consists of 1 page
Copy # 2 of 5 copies.
Page # 1 of 1 pages.
Series # 2

EYES ONLY

TOP SECRET

EYES ONLY

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS



TOP SECRET

EYES ONLY

THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

TOP SECRET - EYES ONLY

7 June 1954

Memorandum for: C/S USA (General Matthew B. Ridgway)
CHO (Admiral Robert B. Carney) ✓
C/S USAF (General Nathan F. Twining) ✓

Attached is self explanatory. Would like your comments or concurrence as to its accuracy in reflecting your views.

AMR.

COPY # 24 OF FIVE COPIES

TOP SECRET

EYES ONLY

EYES ONLY

d

1

he

tary

rations,

m in

etary of

aised by

sh policy

REPRODUCED FROM THE COLLECTIONS OF THE MANUSCRIPT DIVISION, LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

January 8, 1947

Dear Jim:

I am exceedingly anxious to get this unification thing in shape so we can go to Congress with a solid front.

I think you can do more to contribute to that situation than anybody interested in it and I hope you get the Secretary of War, Clark Clifford, and whatever advisers you and the Secretary of War think are necessary and get the proposed bill in shape so we can present it at an early date.

I know you have difficulty with your Admirals, just as Bob Patterson has with his Generals but Patterson, you and I are the ones who are responsible for really getting a National Defense that will work.

I think I made it perfectly plain to you that I am just as interested in the welfare and future of the Navy as I am in the Army and the Air Force but no single component of the National Defense is as important as the whole picture put together. I know you can see it just as I can.

Sincerely yours,

HARRY S. TRUMAN

Honorable James Forrestal
Secretary of the Navy
Washington, D. C.

Personal and Confidential

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 11652, Sec. 3(E) and 5(D) or (E)

OSD letter, May 3, 1972

By ALC-HC, NARS Date 4-4-75~~SECRET~~

- 3 -

Mar. 31, 1949

The investigators were advised by the butler that at least one of the servants is always present in the house, that all doors are locked at night, and that he personally knows that no workmen, strangers, or other persons open to suspicion have been allowed access to the house prior to the time Mr. F expressed his concern.

When questioned regarding any missing documents it was learned that Mr. F has never mentioned this matter to the butler. However, the butler revealed that Mr. F often leaves documents on his desk, which are collected by the servants and placed in the drawer, and this may account for any paper not being in the place where it was left by him.

As a result of this interview the investigators are of the opinion that Mr. F's suspicions are unfounded. However, in accordance with instructions received, no attempt has been made to verify the butler's statements. The names of all persons referred to are in the possession of the investigators and these individuals can be interviewed if it is so desired. In addition, the name of a doctor who prescribed sleeping tablets for Mr. F has been ascertained.

It appears that Mr. F has, during the past several days, been suffering from a slight nervous breakdown and that his suspicions are the result of this condition.

Unless otherwise instructed, the investigation will be considered closed.

~~TOP SECRET~~

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON

December 30, 1958



MEMORANDUM OF CONFERENCE WITH THE PRESIDENT:
Friday, December 1958

In addition to the points raised in the attached memorandum, the President made the following points:

1. The President expressed his feeling that for intelligence matters, the JCS should have one intelligence group. He pointed out that there is to be only one military plan and asked why there should be separate intelligence agencies and plans within the top military command.

2. The President expressed a feeling that for one reason or another the main elements of the Cuban situation had not been presented to him. He said that he would speak to Secretary of State Dulles about better coordination. ✓

3. The President indicated that he did not wish the specifics of covert operations to be presented to the NSC.

Gordon Gray

Note:
JTF

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 12065, Sec. 3-204

MR 77-175 #14

By DTH Date 10/23/81

~~TOP SECRET~~

May 23, 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD:

Mr. Ayer sent me a memorandum which, because of its content and references to the President, ~~it appeared~~ ^{it considered} should not exist in documentary form. Accordingly, I talked to Secretary Quarles who agreed with the above, and later with Mr. Ayer, with whom Secretary Quarles had communicated. Mr. Ayer agreed to recapture all copies of the memorandum and destroy them, and notify me when that had been done.



24 May 57
Major Sykes in Col Leo Geary's office (associated with Mr. Ayer) informed me all copies have been destroyed.

Gordon Geary
JTF

'Eyes Only' Documents CAN Turn Up

By James Neilson

"Eyes only."

It was part of the title of a James Bond movie. It's on the notorious MJ-12 documents. It conjures romantic images of international intrigue; of spies and counter-spies. It is, in fact, a legitimate element of the U.S. government's overburdened and often abused system of national security classification.

But it does not, as noted author John Keel contended in a recent interview with *UFO*, "mean you read [the document] and then you destroy it . . . You do not file, copy it or even discuss it, period." That declaration is categorically inaccurate.

Although relatively few documents among the millions of documents routinely rubber-stamped "secret" or "top secret" in red ink each year actually bear an "Eyes Only" imprint, they do exist; they are not all destroyed as a matter of policy or regulation following their having been read. Documents produced during World War II and Vietnam which have been declassified by the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) can be found bearing an "Eyes Only" classification. According to Keel and other critics of the "Eyes Only"-imprinted MJ-12 documents, however, it is "impossible" for an authentic eyes-only document to suddenly turn up after all these years.

'Eyes Only' document at North trial

How, then, did a four-year-old "Eyes Only" document survive only to be entered as an exhibit at the trial of Lt. Col. Oliver North last year? Reproduced here, it was clearly never destroyed. It had been filed within the memory of the computer system by which it was prepared.

But there were many highly sensitive documents that were generated at North's behest which bore an "Eyes Only" classification, and which were stored in the vaults of the super-secret National Security Agency (NSA). These documents, which contained intelligence on the Iranian arms sales intercepted by the NSA, were addressed exclusively, in sealed "Eyes Only" envelopes, to a select group of Reagan administra-

tion officials designated by then-national security advisor Robert McFarlane.

Similarly, NSA's darkest secrets, made available only to a few select officials, are stamped "Eyes Only" and kept within the agency's secure safes.

Furthermore, there are existing documents written during the last couple of years that were given an "Eyes Only" classification. Some of these documents were recently leaked to several Washington, D.C.-based national security journalists, but before being passed to them, the documents were extracted from computers over which they had been transmitted—long after critics maintain they immediately should have been destroyed. These particular documents clearly discount critics' claims that such documents are neither filed nor capable of being copied. They were in fact stored in certain Executive branch computers and were retrieved from them, sometimes as long as two weeks after they were produced.

Washington's contingent of national security reporters all can attest to the existence of "Eyes Only" documents, and will be backed by any number of intelligence officials who regularly deal with such a classification. The assertion that they are immediately destroyed, conjuring a stereotypical image of a spy burning a top-secret piece of information, is best left to the celluloid fiction of Hollywood or to the narrative fiction of bestselling spy novels. It is simply not a matter of fact, and displays a very naive and unknowledgeable grasp of the world of national security classification.

This is not to imply, however, that "eyes only" documents are regularly leaked or declassified; they are not. But they do exist. They are not always destroyed. In reality, that destiny is the exception with such documents, not the norm.

James Neilson, pseudonym of a well-regarded Washington D.C. based national security journalist, is privy to the leaked documents cited in this article.

MEMORANDUM

SECRET

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

SYSTEM NO
NSC/ICS-400000

MARCH 15, 1985

SECRET

ACTION

EYES ONLY

MEMORANDUM FOR ROBERT C. MCFARLANE

FROM:

OLIVER L. NORTH

SUBJECT:

Meeting with []

In accord with your instructions relayed through VADM Poindexter, I met with [] on the afternoon of [] to discuss his funding relationship with the CIA. I told him that it was our view that as long as the funding relationship continued, he was vulnerable to exposure by those in the Congress who were cognizant and disapproved of the resistance program. I asked him directly whether or not the CIA had queried him regarding this matter. He answered as follows:

-- [] he had met with his case officer who had called him on the matter. The case officer noted that exposure was a possibility whether or not the funding was on-going and that it was likely to occur even if the funding relationships with the CIA were terminated.

-- He had been told several months ago when the relationship was established that funding would be provided through a "foundation" which was completely safe.

-- At the time the relationship was established, he was also advised that no one else [] would be made aware of the relationship.

[] indicated that he believed he had been deceived and that he would no longer be able to talk to members of the Hill on behalf of his country as a consequence of the Congressional awareness of the CIA funding. He specifically asked if there was an alternative which would provide funds commensurate with his earning capabilities were he not engaged in the resistance effort. He noted that []

the \$6,000 he was now receiving and allowed him to care []

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

HEADQUARTERS FIFTH ARMY
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION
OFFICE OF AC of S, G-2

6 October 1960

(Date)

Chicago 15, Illinois

(Place)

SUBJECT: Purchase Offer of Flying Saucer Photographs

Summary of Information:

1. The Fifth Army Regional Office in Denver, Colorado, reported the following information: On 30 September the Regional Office received a call from CID, Denver, reporting that an [redacted] Melwyn Hotel, Denver, said that when he was at the Edelweiss Bar a man named [redacted] offered him \$1500 for photographs he had taken of a flying saucer. [redacted] said he photographed this saucer which had crashed near Aztec, New Mexico. [redacted] said that "army officials" had attempted to take the photographs of the crashed saucer away from him but that he had given them another roll of film. He said that [redacted] in some way had found out about the photographs and offered him \$1500 for the photographs.

2. On 2 October [redacted] was interviewed at the Fifth Army Regional Office in Denver. He then denied any knowledge of the flying saucer episode. The Regional Office commented that in spite of his denials his manner indicated that he had some knowledge of the incident or may have taken pictures of it. His appearance did not give the impression of one who was either mentally unbalanced or was seeking attention. He stuck persistently to his story of being drunk when he made the call to CID.

3. [redacted] said that [redacted] was from "The Baltimore Sun."

Previous Distribution:

Distribution:
AC of S, G-2, Wash
FBI, Chgo
ONI
CSI

Evaluation	
-of source	-of information
x	Reliable
	Credible
	Questionable
	Undetermined
	Unknown
	x

73
SI PCRM
200 1947 62

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

0077 G-2

452.1

6 Oct 50

(25 Mar 48)

TOP SECRET

EXECUTIVE CORRESPONDENCE

EXECUTIVE BRIEFING

SUBJECT:

PROJECT AQUARIUS CTS




ATTENTION

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED BY HJ12. HJ12 IS SOLELY RESPONSIBLE FOR ITS CONTENT MATTER.

DOCUMENT CONTAINS SECRET

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

TOP SECRET

REQUEST FOR PERSONNEL SECURITY INVESTIGATION		/0143	102789
1. FROM: DISCO		5. THIS REQUEST IS FOR: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LIMITED INQUIRY <input type="checkbox"/> BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION (BI) <input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL BACKGROUND INVESTIGATION (SBI) <input type="checkbox"/> DIS BRING-UP <input type="checkbox"/> SBI BRING-UP <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify in Remarks)	
TO: Personnel Investigations Control Center Defense Investigative Service P. O. Box 454 Baltimore, Maryland 21203		6. REASON FOR INVESTIGATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACCESS TO MATERIAL CLASSIFIED (Confidential) (Secret) (Top Secret) <input type="checkbox"/> NUCLEAR WEAPON POSITION <input type="checkbox"/> IMMIGRANT ALIEN CLEARANCE REQUIREMENT <input type="checkbox"/> SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> SIOP-ESI <input type="checkbox"/> PRESIDENTIAL SUPPORT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Continue in Remarks)	
7. RETURN RESULTS TO: (Include ZIP Code) DISCO, P.O. BOX 2499 COLUMBUS, OHIO 43216-5006 ATTN: S0836 J. WOLF			
DO NOT COMPLETE - BLOCKS CIRCUMSCRIBED BY HEAVY BLACK LINE ARE FOR DIS INTERNAL USE ONLY			
CCN (Type and Number) (1-15) <u>89311</u> <u>DKI</u> <u>3408</u> <u>1W9</u> (16-22) <u>DSB</u>			
23. <u>CS</u> 8. NAME (Last-First-Middle) (Last Name only in Capitals) GRAHAM, LEE MARVIN			
23. <u>AS</u> 9. OTHER NAMES USED OR KNOWN BY (Continue in Remarks) DELULOW, LEMOYNE MARVIN			
10. DATE OF BIRTH (Y-M-D) 34/11/28	11. SSN 551-44-0616	12. FORMER SERVICE NO. UNK	13. SEX M
14. PLACE OF BIRTH (City, State) LOS ANGELES, CA	15. SERVICE UNK	16. RANK/DATE/GRADE UNK	
17. LOCAL FILES: <input type="checkbox"/> PERSONNEL, <input type="checkbox"/> BASE/MILITARY POLICE, <input type="checkbox"/> MEDICAL, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SECURITY. WERE REVIEWED ON <u>10-27-81</u> (Date) AND REVEALED: <input type="checkbox"/> NO UNFAVORABLE INFORMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNFAVORABLE INFORMATION SUMMARIZED IN "REMARKS" BELOW		DIS NON-ACCEPTANCE STAMP	
18. HISTORY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYMENT, CURRENT MILITARY SERVICE. INDICATED ON ATTACHED PERSONAL HISTORY FORM IS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CORRECT <input type="checkbox"/> PARTIALLY CORRECT <input type="checkbox"/> COULD NOT BE VERIFIED. (If other than correct is checked, explain in remarks.)			
19. PRIOR INVESTIGATION: <u>XXX</u> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> UNKNOWN (If Yes, reflect type, when made, by whom, and file No.) NACEX/17APR86/BY DIS NOT AVAILABLE IN DISCO			
20. REMARKS: (Use Continuation Sheets as Required) ALERT/EXPAND AS APPROPRIATE CURRENT NAC REQUIRED; YES NCO LVL CLNC/DATE; SECRET/07SEP76 OTHER; REF ATTACHED DIS HQDS LTR. DESIRE ADVANCE NOTIFICATION OF NAC RESULTS: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE DIS CLOSING STAMP	
21. ENCLOSURE(S) 398-2 DIS LTR	22. AUTHORIZED BY: <div style="text-align: center;">  (Signature) THOMAS J. RAINSFORD DIRECTOR (Type Name, Title, and Telephone Number) </div>		
		<input type="checkbox"/> Attached documents forwarded for security determination. <input type="checkbox"/> BI <input type="checkbox"/> IBI <input type="checkbox"/> SBI <input type="checkbox"/> PR <input type="checkbox"/> MAC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STJ <div style="text-align: right;">19 APR 90</div> <div style="text-align: center;">WILLIAM A. HUGHES Director</div>	
DD FORM 1879 1 MAY 78		REFER TO THIS CASE BY "NAME-SSN-CCN"	

REPLACES DD FORM 1879 (TEST) 1 SEP 72, WHICH IS OBSOLETE.

FOR OFFICIAL USE

Declassified per 17 Apr 90 memo

PCE

~~SECRET/SENIOR TREND~~

PCE

~~SPECIAL ACCESS REQUIRED~~

ATTACHMENT 1

1. YOU INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IN FOIA REQUESTS:

PCE

A. (S/SAR) THE NAME SENIOR TREND IN ASSOCIATION WITH AN AIRCRAFT.

PCE

B. (S/SAR) THE NUMBER F-117 IN ASSOCIATION WITH AN AIRCRAFT AND THE NAME SENIOR TREND.

2. THIS INFORMATION IS CLASSIFIED AND SUBJECT TO A SPECIAL DETERMINATION OF NEED TO KNOW BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.

CLASSIFIED BY: SENIOR TREND SECURITY GUIDE

DECLASSIFY ON: OADR

PCE

~~SECRET/SENIOR TREND~~

D/C

~~SPECIAL ACCESS REQUIRED~~